

JOB CORPS PROGRAM YEAR (PY) 2019 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

UNDER THE WORKFORCE INNOVATION AND OPPORTUNITY ACT (WIOA)

Submitted to:

The Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate

The U.S. Department of Labor is pleased to present the Job Corps Annual Report as required by the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), (Pub. L. 113-128). Job Corps is the nation's largest residential, education, and career technical training program for opportunity youth. Job Corps' FY 2020 annual budget is approximately \$1.7 billion, and it has served nearly 3 million youth since its inception in 1964.

The attached Annual Report contains Job Corps' national results on the 32 performance indicators for Program Year (PY) 2019, as well as results by centers, Outreach and Admissions (OA) providers, and Career Transition Service (CTS) providers for specific measures as required by WIOA.

Job Corps' Annual Report is organized as follows:

- The Annual Report provides a summary of the national performance across all 32 indicators for PY 2019 as compared to PY 2017 and PY 2018, identifies the data sources and limitations of the reported results, and discusses anticipated changes to specifications for future reports.
- Appendix A provides the data by center, CTS, and/or OA provider, as required, for the 32 metrics.
 Specifically:
 - Section I of Appendix A presents all 32 indicators identified in WIOA for inclusion in the Annual Report, along with the specific WIOA citation, the algorithm, the entities (center, CTS provider, and/or OA provider) to whom the indicator applies, whether expected levels of performance, goals, and targets are required, and underlying specifications for PY 2019.
 - Section II presents the national results for the Job Corps program on the 32 indicators specified by WIOA for inclusion in the Annual Report.
 - Sections III and IV present ratings and comparative rankings by center and CTS provider respectively for each of the six primary indicators of performance for eligible youth described in WIOA Section 116(b)(2)(A)(ii) (numbered as indicators 1 through 6 in the Annual Report).
 - Section V presents the results by center for indicators A through N (numbered as indicators 9 through 32 in the Annual Report) as described in WIOA Section 159(d)(1).
 - Section VI presents the results by OA provider for two indicators described in WIOA Section 159(c)(2)(A), two indicators described in WIOA Section 159(d)(1)(I), and three indicators described in WIOA Section 159(d)(1)(L), (numbered as indicators 7, 8, 22, 23, 28, 29, and 30 respectively in the Annual Report).
 - Section VII presents the results by CTS provider for seven indicators (D, E, H, J, and K(i)) described in WIOA Section 159(d)(1), (numbered as indicators 16, 17, 20, 21, 24, 25, and 26 respectively in the Annual Report).

WIOA Section 159(d)(1)(O) allows for the inclusion of additional measures in the Job Corps Annual Report as required by the Secretary of Labor; at this time no additional metrics have been required by the Secretary.

JOB CORPS PY 2019 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

UNDER THE WORKFORCE AND INNOVATION OPPORTUNITY ACT (WIOA)

The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), Section 159(c)(4) requires Job Corps to develop an Annual Report presenting performance results on the six primary indicators of performance¹ common to all WIOA programs and an additional 26 measures noted in WIOA, Section 159(c) and 159(d).

Below we provide a summary of the national results of all 32 metrics for the Program Year (PY) 2019 Annual Report and compare these to the results in the PY 2017 and PY 2018 Annual Reports. Results for each indicator by center, Career Transition Service (CTS) provider, and/or Outreach and Admissions (OA) provider, as appropriate, are provided in detailed tables in Appendix A. Additionally, as shown in Section I of Appendix A, the data presented across these indicators calculate program performance primarily based on data that are reported/captured during PY 2019 and, as such, represent several different student cohorts (e.g., enrollee cohorts, exit cohorts, participants served cohorts, surveyed cohorts, placed graduate cohorts), and not just the cohort of exiters and participants served in PY 2019. These results therefore do not allow for conclusions for a given cohort of program participants but capture what was happening in Job Corps in PY 2019, which can then be compared to previous years' outcomes. To provide context for these comparisons, first we present a summary of the factors that uniquely impacted PY 2019 outcomes as compared to the previous year, followed by the national results for all 32 metrics.

¹ WIOA, Section 116(b)(2)(A)(ii)

FACTORS AFFECTING PY 2019 PERFORMANCE RESULTS

For PY 2019 there are two main issues that impact Job Corps performance outcomes as summarized below. These factors relate to the implementation of definitional changes in PY 2018 that affect the primary six measures, and the impact of the global pandemic resulting from Coronavirus 19 (COVID-19).

Job Corps adjusted its specifications for the primary six measures in PY 2018 to incorporate changes in definitions to meet WIOA requirements and align with how other programs report results. The changes to the indicators that began in PY 2018 include: (1) revised definitions of the Quarter 2 and Quarter 4 reporting periods for indicators 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 from being based on a student's exit date to a student's exit calendar quarter; and, (2) an expanded definition of who constitutes a "participant" for reporting on indicators 1 through 6 from students who were enrolled for 60 or more days to those who completed Job Corps' Career Preparation Period (CPP) or were enrolled for 60 or more days. The broadened definition of participants essentially adds to the measure pools those students who completed CPP but were enrolled in Job Corps for less than 60 days. As skills gains or credential attainments generally require longer lengths of stay in Job Corps, the addition of this group to measure pools lowers performance results.

As with PY 2018, the impact of these definitional changes has been disproportionate across the primary six measures for PY 2019. Since the expanded definition was applied to all students active on July 1, 2018 and all enrollments thereafter, it has predominantly impacted the pools of indicator 5 for PY 2019 (which are based upon all participants served in PY 2019), has moderately affected the pools of indicators 1 and 3 (which are based upon participants who completed a Quarter 2 survey in PY 2019) and has minimally affected the pools of indicators 2, 4 and 6 (which are based upon participants who completed a Quarter 4 survey in PY 2019). As such, a comparison of PY 2019 results for the primary six measures with earlier periods should be interpreted cautiously.

In addition, beginning in March 2020 the COVID-19 pandemic seriously impacted Job Corps' typical program operations, resulting in unprecedented challenges for the Job Corps program during the final 3.5 months of PY 2019. From March 16, 2020 to May 10, 2020, Job Corps implemented a Spring Break to protect students from COVID-19 infection. During this period, even though students were still enrolled in the program, Job Corps could not provide traditional academic or career technical training services and no testing could occur, resulting in limited student accomplishments. Additionally, to mitigate risk, Outreach and Admissions (OA) operations were halted, and no new students were able to enter the program after March 16, 2020 through to the end of the program year (and beyond). On May 11, 2020, Job Corps was able to resume some program operations by pivoting to a distance-learning model. There were, however, inherent challenges to managing and accounting for student accomplishments under this new model. Among those challenges were: some students being initially unable to participate in distance learning because of equipment deficits; the necessary use of multiple learning platforms from operators to provide training content to students; the need to quickly modify "hands-on" training techniques and materials for delivery under a distance learning format; and accurately accounting for student achievements when some were participating using correspondence packets, while others were using technology. Additionally, concurrent with the temporary suspension of enrollments of new students into the program, the larger economic impacts of COVID-19 significantly affected the program's ability to provide placement and career transition services to students who were

exiting the program. Many CTS offices were themselves temporarily closed because of local mandates, students could not be scheduled for interviews with prospective employers, many possible employers were closed for business during this period, significantly diminishing employment possibilities nationwide. Job Corps' post-placement survey operations in Houston, Texas were also affected, with operations temporarily halted between March 25th and May 31st because of local ordinances. These factors collectively have impacted PY 2019 outcomes, and should be considered when assessing PY 2019 performance and comparing with prior years' results.

PY 2019 NATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

PRIMARY SIX MEASURES (INDICATORS 1-6)

Approximately three-quarters (74.8%) of Job Corps participants who responded to the WIOA Quarter 2 survey in PY 2019 were employed or in an education or training program in their second quarter after exit, slightly lower than the PY 2017 and PY 2018 results of 77.8 percent and 76.5 percent, respectively. Of the participants who responded to the WIOA Quarter 4 survey in PY 2019, 70.6 percent reported they were employed or in an education or training program in their fourth quarter after exit – a small decline from the PY 2017 and PY 2018 results of 71.7 percent and 72.0 percent, respectively.

Of those who were employed in the second quarter after exit and reported their wages, the median Quarter 2 earnings were \$4,763 in PY 2019, an increase from both the PY 2017 and PY 2018 median quarterly earnings of \$4,191 and \$4,629 respectively. Just under three-fifths (58.2%) of Quarter 4 survey respondents who were employed in the second quarter after exit reported they were employed by the same employer in the fourth quarter after exit. This represents a slight decrease from both PY 2017 (59.4%) and PY 2018 (62.3%) results.

Job Corps' credential attainment rate (among participants who complete the Quarter 4 surveys) was 85.8 percent, slightly higher than the PY 2017 and PY 2018 results of 85.3 percent and 84.9 percent, respectively.

Job Corps' measurable skill gains rate among all participants served in PY 2019, however, decreased by 37.8 percentage points from PY 2017 and 31.4 percentage points from PY 2018, to 48.5 percent. The decline from PY 2017 to PY 2018 was primarily due to the change in the composition of the pool, which beginning in PY 2018 included those students who completed CPP but were enrolled for less than 60 days. If the participant definition had not changed, PY 2018 performance would instead have decreased by only 2.1 percentage points. The larger decline in performance in PY 2019 is due to a variety of factors, including: the implementation of Spring Break for almost 8 weeks where participants could not attain accomplishments; the transition to distance learning which limited the types of attainments that could be achieved; the suspension of new enrollments for the last 3.5 months of the program year many of whom may have achieved some gains; and programmatic changes implemented in PY 2019 leading to an increase in the difficulty of attaining skills in several areas (such as the transition to the more challenging TABE 11/12 from the TABE 9/10 and a more rigorous definition of what constitutes a primary industry-recognized credential).

Measure #	Measure Description	PY 2017	PY 2018	PY 2019
1	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	77.8%	76.5%	74.8%
2	4th Quarter Placement Rate	71.7%	72.0%	70.6%
3	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	\$4,191	\$4,629	\$4,763
4	Credential Attainment Rate	85.3%	84.9%	85.8%
5	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	86.3%	79.9%	48.5%
6	Employer Retention Rate	59.4%	62.3%	58.2%

Table 1. Results for Primary Indicators of Performance, PY 2017, PY 2018, and PY 2019

ENROLLEES RECRUITED AND 90-DAY COMMITMENTS (INDICATORS 7–8)

A total of 32,530 enrollees entered Job Corps during PY 2019, a 25 percent decline from PY 2017 and a 31 percent decline from PY 2018. As noted previously, no new students enrolled in Job Corps from March 16, 2020 through the remainder of the program year, leading to this decrease in total enrollees for PY 2019.

A higher proportion of these students, however, remained enrolled in Job Corps for 90 or more days in PY 2019 (96.1%) as compared to PY 2018 (70.2%) and PY 2017 (74.7%). This is likely due to the implementation of Spring Break between March 16 and May 10, 2020 to protect students from COVID, followed by a distance-learning approach where students continued their educational and career training off-site. During this period very few students exited the program.

DEMOGRAPHICS OF ENROLLEES SERVED (INDICATORS 9–14)

A total of 61,410 enrollees were served in PY 2019. This includes all enrollees who entered Job Corps during PY 2019 plus those who were active at the beginning of the program year (i.e., students who had not separated prior to July 1, 2019, regardless of when they enrolled). This represents a decrease from PY 2017 and PY 2018 during which 71,863 and 74,050 enrollees, respectively, were served. As noted previously, no new students enrolled in Job Corps from March 16, 2020, through the remainder of the program year, leading to this decrease in enrollees served for PY 2019.

Per WIOA, Section 144 (a)(1), age at enrollment is an eligibility criterion for entry into Job Corps, with the age range limited to 16-to-24-year-olds. WIOA does allow the maximum age limit of 24 years at program entry to be waived in the case of an individual with a disability. Results were similar for PY 2018 and PY 2019. That is, more than one-quarter of the PY 2018 and PY 2019 enrollees served were ages 16–17 on the date of enrollment (27.4% and 27.2%, respectively), while over half were between 18 and 21 years old (58.2% and 58.5%, respectively). Less than one-sixth of PY 2018 enrollees (14.2%) and PY 2019 enrollees (14.0%) served were between the ages of 22 and 24; only 0.2 percent for PY 2018 and 0.3 percent for PY 2019 were 25 or older at enrollment. These results represent a slight increase in the proportion of 16-17-year-old enrollees served in PY 2018 and PY 2019 as compared to PY 2017 (26.3%), and a corresponding decrease in the proportion of 22-24-year-olds as compared to PY 2017 (15.3%).

Similar to results for PY 2017 and PY 2018, the largest racial/ethnic group of enrollees served in PY 2019 was African American (45.3%), followed by White (24.3%) and Hispanic/Latino (21.6%). Just under four percent (3.9%) of enrollees served self-identified as more than one race, while 4.8 percent identified themselves solely as American Indian/Alaskan Native, Asian, or Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander. Overall, the distribution of enrollees served in PY 2019 is comparable to the PY 2017 and PY 2018 results for most racial/ethnic groups, although there is a slight increase in the proportion of Hispanic/Latino enrollees served in PY 2019 as compared to PY 2017 and PY 2018 (increases of 1.7 and 0.9 percentage points, respectively) and 1.8 and 0.5 percentage point decreases in the proportion that were African-American compared to results for PY 2017 and PY 2018, respectively.

Over three-fifths (64.3%) of enrollees served were male in PY 2019, similar to the 64.1 percent in PY 2018 and 63.4 percent in PY 2017. Additionally, 56.9 percent in PY 2019 had no high school diploma or equivalency (HSD/HSE) at program entry, a decline of 2.5 percentage points from PY 2018 and 3.7 percentage points from PY 2017. Only a very small number of enrollees served (12) had completed some higher education prior to enrollment in Job Corps in PY 2018, similar to PY 2018 (21) and PY 2017 (26).

A second eligibility criterion for entry into Job Corps under WIOA, Section 144 (a)(2) is the requirement to be a low-income individual. This criterion may be waived (as noted in Section 144(b)) under the Special Rule for Veterans. As in PY 2017 and PY 2018, almost all enrollees (99.8%) were low-income individuals in PY 2019.

Measure #	ı	Measure Description	PY 2017	PY 2018	PY 2019
9	Number of Enrolle	es Served	71,863	74,050	61,410
	Number of Enrolle	es Served By:			
		16	8,385	9,277	7,851
		17	10,523	11,022	8,876
		18	14,314	15,087	12,689
		19	12,210	12,615	10,640
10	100	20	8,851	8,968	7,294
10	Age	21	6,438	6,395	5,280
		22	4,764	4,602	3,740
		23	3,627	3,451	2,796
		24	2,596	2,452	2,080
		25+	155	181	164
	Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic/Latino	14,330	15,347	13,279
		American Indian or Alaskan Native	2,097	2,008	1,641
		Asian	995	898	741
11		Black/African-American	33,875	33,926	27,837
		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	612	675	539
		White	17,127	18,255	14,948
		More Than One Race	2,827	2,971	2,425
12	Condor	Male	45,529	47,499	39,505
12	Gender	Female	26,334	26,551	21,905
		No HSD/HSE at Entry	43,558	43,974	34,970
13	Education Level	HSD/HSE at Entry	28,279	30,055	26,428
		Some Post-secondary	26	21	12
14	Income Level	Low Income Individual	71,738	73,899	61,270

Table 2. Demographics of Enrollees Served, PY 2017, PY 2018, and PY 2019

STATUS OF SEPARATED STUDENTS (INDICATORS 15, 22–23)

Job Corps defines graduates as students who have been enrolled for 60 or more days, completed Career Technical Training (CTT) and/or attained an HSD/HSE, and have not separated due to a violation of the Zero Tolerance (ZT) policy. The count of graduates includes all students who separated in PY 2019, regardless of when they enrolled, who met the graduate definition. A total 14,878 students graduated from Job Corps in PY 2019, representing 45.2 percent of all students who separated during the program year, a decline from 49.1 percent in PY 2018 and 55.4 percent in PY 2017. This decline may in part be due to the implementation of Spring Break followed by the transition to distance learning, whereby students who may otherwise have completed their training and graduated by the end of the program year were delayed due to these COVID safety measures.

The remaining 18,064 students (54.8%) separated as former enrollees (students who remained in Job Corps at least 60 days but did not graduate) or ZT separations (students who separated due to violations of Job Corps' ZT policy) in PY 2019. The proportion of separated students that were former enrollees and ZT separations increased both in PY 2018 and PY 2019 as compared to PY 2017. The increase in PY 2018 was in part due to the enrollment surge beginning in July 2018 that led to a greater number and percentage of separated students staying less than 60 days, who generally do not attain credentials prior to separation. The proportion was higher in PY 2019 primarily due to the impacts of the COVIDsafety measures that led to very few students separating in the last few months of the program year, and many students who would otherwise have successfully completed Job Corps remaining enrolled in the program. As there is a seasonal trend in graduations (typically more students separate as graduates near the end of the program year), the COVID-safety actions led to an increase in the proportion of separated students who were non-graduates.




Figure 1. Percent of Students by Status at Separation, PY 2017, PY 2018, and PY 2019

GRADUATE ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS (INDICATORS 18–19)

Of the graduates who separated from Job Corps in PY 2019, 47.0 percent attained an academic credential while in Job Corps; 4,634 completed a high school diploma, while 2,359 attained a high school equivalency. This represents a decline of eight percentage points from the proportion of graduates who attained an academic credential in PY 2018 (55.0%) and 9.2 percentage points from the proportion of graduates who attained an academic credential in PY 2017 (56.2%) due to a decrease in high school diploma attainment (31.1% of graduates completed a high school diploma in PY 2019 as compared to 40.6 % in PY 2018 and 42.4% in PY 2017). As described in the previous section, the COVID-related safety measures implemented by Job Corps beginning March 16, 2020 led to a decline in the number of students that would otherwise have exited the program, particularly those who would have separated as graduates and have attained a credential.

PLACED GRADUATE OUTCOMES (INDICATORS 16–17, 20–21, 24–27)

Job Corps graduates receive career transition services for a period of 12 months and may enter more than one placement during this period. In PY 2019, 8,165 graduates entered a job that was related to the training they completed at Job Corps (i.e., job-training match [JTM]), while 6.950 entered a non-JTM job (this includes students who did not complete training at Job Corps and cannot therefore enter a job that is a JTM). In PY 2019 1,068 graduates entered a postsecondary education program, 639 entered the Armed Forces, and 641 entered a Registered Apprenticeship Program. These placement categories are not mutually exclusive, and a graduate who entered more than one type of placement in PY 2019 is represented more than once across these groups. The distribution of graduate placements across these categories in PY 2019 was very similar to PY 2018 and PY 2017, varying by less than one percentage point.

For the graduates who entered a job or the military during PY 2019, their average wage was \$12.73, an increase from the PY 2018 and PY 2017 average wages of \$11.97 and \$11.61, respectively. Of those employed at 6 months after the initial placement (based on the calculated proxy measure described in Appendix A, Section I), their average wage was \$14.84, as compared to the PY 2018 result of \$13.67 and PY 2017 result of \$12.98.

PROXIMITY OF ENROLLEE PRIMARY ADDRESS TO CENTER LOCATION (INDICATORS 28–30)

Job Corps tries to match students to centers within their home state that offer the type of career technical training in which they are interested. In PY 2019, over three-quarters of all enrollees (77.9%) enrolled at a center within the same state; a total of 93.9 percent of students enrolled in a center within the same Region (that includes within the same state) in which they were living prior to entry in Job Corps. Only 6.1 percent of students enrolled at a center located outside their Region. These results are very similar not only those of PY 2018, where 78.2 percent of enrollees entered a center within the same state, 94.7 percent entered a center within the same Region, and 5.3 percent entered a center outside their Region, but also those of PY 2017 (77.7%, 94.8%, and 5.3%, respectively).




Figure 2. Enrolled Students' Proximity to Center Location, PY 2019

COSTS (INDICATORS 31–32)

Job Corps' cost per enrollee, which is calculated as the ratio of the total budget to the number of new enrollments during PY 2019 (n=32,530), is \$44,001 as compared to the PY 2018 cost per enrollee of \$31,832 and the PY 2017 cost per enrollee of \$34,301. The cost per graduate, calculated as the ratio of the total budget to the number of graduates (n=14,878), is \$96,207 as compared to the PY 2018 cost per graduate of \$67,493 and the PY 2017 cost per graduate of \$57,312. The total budget at the national level is calculated by summing center-level costs, regional expenses, and national expenses, and includes all construction, rehabilitation, and acquisition (CRA) expenses incurred during PY 2019². At the center level, a center's total budget is calculated by summing the center's expenses with the proportion of regional and national expenses allocated based upon the number of new enrollments at the center in PY 2019. As with the national total budget, center-level budgets include CRA expenses. The count of enrollees includes all new enrollments in PY 2019 and excludes those who were at the center during the program year but who enrolled prior to PY 2019. The count of graduates includes those who separated in PY 2019, regardless of when they enrolled, and met the criteria to be a graduate.

The increased costs for PY 2019 partially reflect the impacts of the COVID safety measures whereby no new students enrolled during the last 3.5 months of the program year and few students exited during that period. Overall, total budget for PY 2019 increased by 3 percent as compared to PY 2018, while total new enrollees decreased by 31 percent and total graduates decreased by 33 percent. Compared to PY 2017, the PY 2019 total budget decreased by 3 percent, while the total new enrollees decreased by 25 percent and total graduates decreased by 42 percent. These large declines in total enrollees and total graduates, which respectively form the denominators for indicators 31 and 32, significantly impact the results for PY 2019.

² Total CRA expenses incurred in PY 2019 was \$26.1 million.

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

Data to report WIOA performance metrics for the PY 2017, PY 2018, and PY 2019 Annual Reports are derived from three sources. Indicators 1, 2, 3, 6, and 27 are calculated using information only from participants who completed the Q2 or Q4 WIOA surveys (as appropriate); indicators 5, 7 through 26, 28, 29, and 30 are calculated using programmatic data collected as part of Job Corps' routine data collection and reporting activities; indicator 4 is calculated through a combination of WIOA survey data and Job Corps programmatic data; and indicators 31 and 32 are based upon a combination of programmatic data and financial information as calculated by the Department of Labor (DOL) Office of the Chief Evaluation Officer.

With indicator 27, the Department is to report the average wage of graduates who enter unsubsidized employment on the day that is 6 months after the first day of such employment. Prior to PY 2016, Job Corps administered surveys to collect such data from initially placed graduates. However, with the WIOA requirement to report results at Quarter 2 and Quarter 4 after separation for all program participants, Job Corps revised its post-separation surveys to reflect the new requirements and discontinued the 6-month placed graduate survey. Because the new survey timeframes are not designed to overlap with the period 6 months after initial placement, Job Corps no longer has an established method for directly measuring this outcome. As described in Appendix A, Section I, to be responsive to the requirement to report on indicator 27, Job Corps developed a proxy measure of the hourly wage at approximately 6 months after initial placement based on Q2 and Q4 survey data for students whose reference quarter overlapped a period 5 to 7 months after the date of initial placement.

As allowed in WIOA, Section 159(d)(2), Rules for Reporting of Data, the Job Corps program does not present the result when the total number of individuals to be included in the calculation of a rate, percent, earnings, or wages for an indicator is below 50 as we deem this count to be insufficient to yield statistically reliable information. Furthermore, the Job Corps program does not present counts when the number of individuals to be reported for a given indicator is below five, due to concerns with revealing personally identifiable information about an individual. Notations have been used in the tables in Appendix A to mark these concerns.

ESTABLISHING EXPECTED LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE

WIOA requires that the Department of Labor establish expected levels of performance for certain Job Corps indicators and compare its outcomes against these targets. Job Corps is required to establish expected levels of performance for the following service providers and metrics:

NATIONALLY:

Expected levels of performance for the primary six measures (indicators 1 through 6)

BY CENTER:

- Expected levels of performance for the primary six measures (indicators 1 through 6)
- Expected levels of performance for enrollees within state, within Region, and within surrounding Region (indicators 28 through 30)

BY OA PROVIDER:

- Expected levels of performance for number of enrollees recruited and number who remained 90 or more days (indicators 7 and 8)
- Expected levels of performance for number and percent of former enrollees and Level 1 ZTs (indicators 22 and 23)
- Expected levels of performance for enrollees within state, within Region and within surrounding Region (indicators 28 through 30)

BY CTS PROVIDER:

- Expected levels of performance for the primary six measures (indicators 1 through 6)
- Expected levels of performance for the number of graduates who enter the Armed Forces and the number who enter apprenticeship programs (indicators 16 and 17)
- Expected levels of performance for the number of graduates who enter unsubsidized jobs related to their career and technical education and training and the number who enter unsubsidized jobs not related to their education and training (indicators 20 and 21)
- Expected levels of performance for the percent and number of graduates who enter postsecondary education (indicators 24 and 25)
- Expected levels of performance for the average wage of graduates who enter unsubsidized jobs on the first day of such employment and at 6 months after the first day (indicators 26 and 27)

As noted in the PY 2017 and PY 2018 Annual Reports, expected levels of performance are not anticipated to be set until adequate baseline data are available to determine appropriate targets. In order to establish reasonable goals, the specifications used for calculating the indicators must remain relatively stable over time so that changes in performance outcomes are not a result of changes in how the indicators are measured. As discussed earlier in this Annual Report, Job Corps has adjusted its specifications for the primary six measures in PY 2018 to incorporate changes in definitions to meet WIOA requirements and align with how other programs will report results. This includes an expanded definition of who constitutes a "participant" for reporting on indicators 1 through 6 and revised definitions of the Quarter 2 and Quarter 4 reporting periods for indicators 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6. Collectively, these changes have impacted the outcomes of these primary performance indicators (as well as affect the pool base for indicator 27 that relies on WIOA survey results).

Additionally, Job Corps anticipates that aggregate Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wage Record matches will be available for PY 2020 through a data exchange between the State Wage Interchange System (SWIS) and the Workforce Integrated Performance System (WIPS). The use of UI Wage Record matches will expand the pools for indicators 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 from students who meet the pool criteria and complete the relevant WIOA survey to all students who meet the pool criteria. Performance levels on these indicators are expected to significantly change compared to earlier Annual Report results due to these definitional shifts and the availability of new data matches.

Furthermore, the impacts of COVID-19 are anticipated to continue to affect program performance in PY 2020. In Fall 2020, Job Corps released a policy³ requiring centers to develop and implement a comprehensive plan for resuming in-person operations that must address the requirements detailed in an accompanying 25-page checklist. The checklist includes, among other items, the requirement to adhere to current CDC protocols. Centers with approved plans and where other factors are also met (e.g., verification of center readiness and implementation of plan, availability of COVID testing supplies, state/local hospital admission, infection and death rates) are subsequently allowed to return students in staggered cohorts. This gradual resumption of in-person operations allows for a controlled environment for COVID-19 testing, quarantining and, when necessary, isolating students, to ensure student and staff safety. The capacity of each center is, however, limited to the number of students that can be on center while accounting for the space needed to meet health and safety protocols (such as space for COVID-19 testing, physical distancing, and quarantining/isolation).

Residential centers received approval for returning students at different timeframes depending on when all requirements were met, ranging from late Fall 2020 through late Spring 2021. Non-residential centers, however, were not able to return students throughout PY 2020 due to the higher risk posed for COVID-19 infections and outbreaks by having students on and off campus daily. Similarly, nonresidential students at residential centers could not return to center during PY 2020. Additionally, even centers that resumed in-person operations could be required to pause their return of students if the risk profile for the center changes.

In April 2021, Job Corps released Program Instruction Notice 20-20, informing centers of a new virtual enrollment process, allowing new students to enroll and complete their first 60-70 days in the program via distance learning, before arriving on center. Again, centers with approved virtual enrollment plans and the anticipated physical capacity to arrive these students to center after their virtual period is completed could begin enrolling new students virtually, and arriving students on center, at a faster and earlier pace.

³ Program Instruction Notice 20-08, dated September 25, 2020.

PY 2019

The necessary phased in approach for resumption of center in-person operations and the timing of when new students can enroll leads to a differential between performance outcomes at centers that have commenced such operations as compared to those that have not yet done so, or who are on a more delayed schedule. Also, the varying timeframes for when centers resume such operations also impact outcomes such that performance will begin improving at these centers earlier than those who do so much later. These circumstances create artificial advantages for some centers and are not reflective of performance differences.

As a consequence of all these factors, Job Corps will examine the PY 2022 performance results and determine if it can I serve as baseline data to establish realistic targets and expected levels of performance for PY 2023.

FUTURE REPORTS

As described above, the Job Corps WIOA Annual Report for PY 2019 uses the same definitions and specifications as presented for all indicators in the PY 2018 Annual Report. For the PY 2020 Annual Report Job Corps anticipates that aggregated UI Wage record matches will be available, impacting the pools for indicators 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6. The pools for these measures will expand from students who meet the pool criteria and complete the relevant WIOA survey, to all students who meet the pool criteria. Furthermore, Job Corps anticipates that the impacts of COVID safety-related measures implemented by the program will continue into PY 2020, affecting performance results and creating inequities in comparing performance across providers based upon their operating status. Job Corps therefore anticipates that the earliest it can establish expected levels of performance for relevant indicators will be PY 2022, if sufficient baseline data are available to determine appropriate targets.



PY 2019 REPORT

JOB CORPS PROGRAM YEAR (PY) 2019 ANNUAL REPORT UNDER THE WORKFORCE INNOVATION AND OPPORTUNITY ACT (WIOA)

APPENDIX A

SEPTEMBER 20, 2021

#	WIOA Citation	WIOA Language	Specific Indicators	Definition	Requires Goals/Targets or Expected Level of	Report Results:	Specification Details ¹	
					Performance be Established?			
1		(I) the percentage of program participants who are in education or training activities, or in unsubsidized employment, during the second quarter after exit from the program	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	Number employed or in education/training during the second quarter after exit	Required by center and CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	1) For PY 2019, the denominator is based upon the participants who completed the survey during the program year. 2) For calculating this measure by CTS provider, the pool is restricted to participants who are graduates and former enrollees and are therefore assigned to a CTS provider. National CTS provider results therefore differ from National Center results in that they include only graduates and former enrollees who completed a Q2 survey during the program year.	
2		(II) the percentage of program participants who are in education or training activities, or in unsubsidized employment, during the fourth quarter after exit from the program	4th Quarter Placement Rate	Number employed or in education/training during the fourth quarter after exit Number of participants who exited	Required by center and CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	1) For PY 2019, the denominator is based upon the participants who completed the survey during the program year. 2) For calculating this measure by CTS provider, the pool is restricted to participants who are graduates and former enrollees and are therefore assigned to a CTS provider. National CTS provider results therefore differ from National Center results in that they include only graduates and former enrollees who completed a Q4 survey during the program year.	
3	Section 116(b)(2)(A)(ii)	(III) the median earnings of program participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median earnings in the second quarter after exit is the midpoint value of quarterly total earnings between the highest and lowest amounts earned among participants who exited and who were employed in the second quarter after exit.	Required by center and CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	1) For PY 2019, the measure is based upon the participants who completed the Q2 survey during the program year and reported earnings. 2) For calculating this measure by CTS provider, the pool is restricted to participants who are graduates and former enrollees and are therefore assigned to a CTS provider. National CTS provider results therefore differ from National Center results in that they include only graduates and former enrollees who completed a Q2 survey during the program year.	

#	WIOA Citation	WIOA Language	Specific Indicators	Definition	Requires Goals/Targets or Expected Level of Performance be Established?	Report Results:	Specification Details ¹
4	Section 116(b)(2)(A)(ii)	(IV) the percentage of program participants who obtain a recognized postsecondary credential, or a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent (subject to clause (iii)), during participation in or within 1 year after exit from the program	Credential Attainment Rate	Number without an HSD/HSE at entry who were in a secondary education program (≥ 9th grade) while in Job Corps and obtained an HSD/HSE during the program or within one year after exit AND who were also employed or enrolled in an education/training program leading to a postsecondary credential within one year after exit PLUS the number with an HSD/HSE at entry, who were in a postsecondary education/ training program while in Job Corps and obtained a postsecondary credential (i.e., completed CTT, completed AT, completed ACT or obtained a primary training-related IRC) during the program or within one year after exit. Number of participants without an HSD/HSE at entry who exited and were in a secondary education program while in Job Corps PLUS the number of participants with an HSD/HSE at entry who exited and were in a postsecondary education/ training program while in Job Corps	Required by center and CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	1) For PY 2019, the denominator is based upon the participants who completed the survey during the program year. 2) For calculating this measure by CTS provider, the pool is restricted to participants who are graduates and former enrollees and are therefore assigned to a CTS provider. National CTS provider results therefore differ from National Center results in that they include only graduates and former enrollees who completed a survey during the program year.
5	Section 116(b)(2)(A)(ii)	(V) the percentage of program participants who, during a program year, are in an education or training program that leads to a recognized postsecondary credential or employment and who are achieving measurable skill gains toward such a credential or employment	Measurable Skills Gains Rate	Number of participants served during the program year who obtained one (or more) of the following: at least 1 EFL gain, an HSD/HSE, a CTT, an AT, a primary IRC, at least 12 credits in an ACT or an approved industry foundations Number of participants served during the program year	Required by center and CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	1) EFL gains are calculated based upon the initial TABE score compared to the last TABE score reported in PY 2019 that is also within the first year of enrollment. 2) Currently, data are not available to include the last two credits listed; they are included as placeholders for when relevant data are consistently recorded, and associated credits will be included in the numerator at that time. 3) For calculating this measure by CTS provider, the pools is restricted to separated graduates and former enrollees since CTS providers are assigned upon exit from Job Corps. National CTS provider results therefore differ from National Center results in that they include only graduates and former enrollees served during the program year.
6	Section 116(b)(2)(A)(ii)	(VI) the indicators of effectiveness in serving employers established pursuant to clause (iv)	Employer Retention Rate	Number of participants who were employed by the same employer in the second and fourth quarters after exit Number of participants who completed a Q4 survey and were employed in the second quarter after exit	Required by center and CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	1) For PY 2019, the denominator is based upon those who completed a Q4 survey in PY 2019 and who were employed in Q2 (either reported through the Q2 survey or through specific questions asked in the Q4 survey about Q2 employment). 2) For calculating this measure by CTS provider, the pool is restricted to participants who are graduates and former enrollees and are therefore assigned to a CTS provider. National CTS provider results therefore differ from National Center results in that they include only graduates and former enrollees who completed a Q4 survey during the program year and reported being employed in Q2.

#	WIOA Citation	WIOA Language	Specific Indicators	Definition	Requires Goals/Targets or Expected Level of Performance be Established?	Report Results:	Specification Details ¹						
7	Soution 150(a)(2)	(A) the number of enrollees recruited, compared to the established goals for such	Number of enrollees recruited	Number of recruited individuals that enroll in Job Corps during the reporting period	Required Established	By OA provider	Enrollees recruited refers to new arrivals						
8	Section 159(c)(2)	recruitment, and the number of enrollees who remain committed to the program for 90 days after enrollment	Number of 90-Day Commitment	Number of enrollees that remain in Job Corps for 90 or more calendar days	Required, Not Yet Established	By OA provider	Enrollees who had the opportunity to remain erolled for 90 days in PY 2019.						
9	Section 159(d)(1)	(A) the number of enrollees served; Note: Sec 142. Definitions. (3) ENROLLEE.—The term "enrollee" means an individual who has voluntarily applied for, been selected for, and enrolled in the Job Corps program, and remains with the program, but has not yet become a graduate.	Number Enrollees Served	Number of students active during the reporting period (i.e., participants served)	No	Nationally, by Center	Enrollees served refers to number of students active in the reporting period (i.e., all students active at the beginning of the PY plus all new arrivals during the PY).						
10			Enrollees Served Demographics: Age	Number of participants served in the reporting period by age	No	Nationally, by Center	Enrollees served refers to number of students active in the reporting period.						
11		(B) demographic information on the enrollees served, including age, race, gender, and education and income level;	the enrollees served, including age, race, gender, and education	Enrollees Served Demographics: Race	Number of participants served in the reporting period by race	No	Nationally, by Center	Enrollees served refers to number of students active in the reporting period. Use QPR breakout of race and ethnicity.					
12	Section 159(d)(1)			the enrollees served, including age, race, gender, and education	the enrollees served, including age, race, gender, and education	Enrollees Served Demographics: Gender	Number of participants served in the reporting period by gender	No	Nationally, by Center	Enrollees served refers to number of students active in the reporting period.			
13							-	-		_	_	_	Enrollees Served Demographics: Education Level
14			Enrollees Served Demographics: Income Level	Number of participants served in the reporting period by income level	No	Nationally, by Center	Enrollees served refers to number of students active in the reporting period. Use QPR category of low-income individual.						
15	Section 159(d)(1)	(C) the number of graduates of a Job Corps center;	Number of Graduates	Number of graduates who exited in the reporting period by center	No	Nationally, by Center							
16	Section 159(d)(1)	(D) the number of graduates who entered the Armed Forces;	Number of Graduates Placed in Armed Forces	Number of graduates who entered the Armed Forces with a date reported in the reporting period	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	This includes all graduates reported as having entered the Armed Forces at any point during their placement window.						
17	Section 159(d)(1)	(E) the number of graduates who entered apprenticeship programs;	Number of Graduates Entered Apprenticeship	Number of graduates who entered an apprenticeship program with a date reported in the reporting period	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	This includes all graduates reported as having entered an apprenticeship program at any point during their placement window.						
18	Section 159(d)(1)	(F) the number of graduates who received a regular secondary school diploma;	Number of Graduates Received HSD	Number of graduates who received a regular secondary school diploma while at Job Corps and exited during the reporting period	No	Nationally, by Center	HSEs promoted to HSDs will count as HSDs						
19	Section 159(d)(1)	(G) the number of graduates who received a State recognized equivalent of a secondary school diploma;	Number of Graduates Received HSE	Number of graduates who received a State recognized equivalent of a secondary school diploma while at Job Corps and exited during the reporting period	No	Nationally, by Center	HSEs promoted to HSDs will count as HSDs						

#	WIOA Citation	WIOA Language	Specific Indicators	Definition	Requires Goals/Targets or Expected Level of Performance be Established?	Report Results:	Specification Details ¹		
20	Section 159(d)(1)	(H) the number of graduates who entered unsubsidized employment related to the career and technical education and training received through	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM	Number of graduates with a date reported in the reporting period who entered unsubsidized employment related to the career and technical education and training received through the Job Corps program	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	JTM placements are counted for graduates who completed CTT and are reported as having entered a placement that is a JTM at any point during their placement window. JTMs exclude post-secondary education and training placements and military placements.		
21	the Job Corps program and the number who entered unsubsidized employment not related to the education and training received;	number who entered unsubsidized employment not related to the education and	number who entered unsubsidized employment not related to the education and	number who entered unsubsidized employment not related to the education and	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	The number of graduates with a date reported in the reporting period who entered unsubsidized employment not related to the education and training received through the Job Corps program	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	Placements that are not JTMs are counted for graduates whose reported job placements at any point during their placement window are not job-training matches. This includes graduates who are not CTT completers and by default cannot enter a JTM placement.
22		(I) the percentage and number of	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 ZTs	Number of former enrollees and Level 1 Zero Tolerance (ZT) separations who exit in the reporting period	Required by OA provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by OA provider			
23	Section 159(d)(1)	former enrollees, including the number dismissed under the zero tolerance policy described in section 152(b);	Percentage of Former Enrollees/Level 1 ZTs	Number of former enrollees and Level 1 Zero Tolerance separations who exit in the reporting period Number of exiters in the reporting period	Required by OA provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by OA provider			
24	Section 159(d)(1) (referenced in Section	(J) the percentage and number of graduates who enter	Percent of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of graduates with a date reported in the reporting period that entered postsecondary education Number of Job Corps graduates whose initial placement records are due or received in the reporting period	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	Postsecondary education is classified as placements coded: (06) Part-time Combo, (07) Full-time Combo, (09) Post-secondary Training, and, (10) College; excludes code (12) Other.		
25	159(c)(2)(B))	postsecondary education;	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of graduates with a date reported in the reporting period that entered postsecondary education	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	Postsecondary education is classified as placements coded: (06) Part-time Combo, (07) Full-time Combo, (09) Post-secondary Training, and, (10) College; excludes code (12) Other.		
26	Section 159(d)(1)		Graduate Wage at Placement	Sum of hourly wages of graduates initially placed in an unsubsidized job including the military Number of graduates initially placed in an unsubsidized job including the military	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	Wages from the first job placement (as opposed to subsequent placements in the placement window) are used to calculate this average.		
27	Section 159(d)(1)	(K) the average wage of graduates who enter unsubsidized employment(i) on the first day of such employment; and (ii) on the day that is 6 months after such first day;	Graduate average wage after 6 months of employment	Sum of hourly wages of graduates placed in an unsubsidized job including the military approximately 6 months after initial placement as reported in their Q2 or Q4 survey Number of graduates who reported through the WIOA surveys that they were in an unsubsidized job, including the military, at approximately 6 months after initial placement	Required by CTS provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by CTS provider	Job Corps reports a proxy measure as data are not available to report wages at the 6-month after the first day of employment (since the 6-month/12-month follow up surveys were discontinued to allow for the new Q2 and Q4 WIOA surveys). The proxy measure is calculated using data reported in the Q2 and Q4 surveys where an initially placed graduate's 6-month after initial placement period falls within a window of 5-7 months of either their Q2 or Q4 reporting period. These results cannot be calculated until late Fall 2020 after all Q2 and/or Q4 surveys of the PY 2019 initially placed graduates have been completed.		

Page 5

#	WIOA Citation	WIOA Language	Specific Indicators	Definition	Requires Goals/Targets or Expected Level of Performance be Established?	Report Results:	Specification Details ¹			
28		(L) the percentages of enrollees described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 145(c)(1), as compared to the percentage targets established by the Secretary under such section for the center;	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Number of enrollees that entered a Job Corps center in their home State Number of enrollees in the reporting period	Required by center and OA provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by OA provider				
29	Section 159(d)(1) (referenced in Section 159(c)(2)(B))	Note, Section Sec 145(c)(1): "the Secretary shall [] establish targets, applicable to each Job Corps center, for— (A) the maximum attainable percentage of enrollees at the Job Corps center that reside in the State in which the center is	Percent of Within Region Enrollees	Number of enrollees that entered a Job Corps center in their home region Number of enrollees in the reporting period	Required by center and OA provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by OA provider	Region is defined as the six Job Corps regions.			
30		located; and (B) the maximum attainable percentage of enrollees at the Job Corps center that reside in the region in which the center is located, and in surrounding regions.	B) the maximum attainable ercentage of enrollees at the ob Corps center that reside in the region in which the center is ocated, and in surrounding	(B) the maximum attainable percentage of enrollees at the lob Corps center that reside in the region in which the center is located, and in surrounding	(B) the maximum attainable percentage of enrollees at the Job Corps center that reside in the region in which the center is located, and in surrounding	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees	Number of enrollees that entered a Job Corps center in surrounding regions Number of enrollees in the reporting period	Required by center and OA provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by OA provider	Region is defined as the six Job Corps regions.
31	Section 159(d)(1) (referenced in Section 159(c)(2)(B))	(M) the cost per enrollee, which is calculated by comparing the number of enrollees at the center in a program year to the total budget for such center in the same program year;	Cost per enrollee	Total cost per center per PY Number of enrollees in the PY	Required OA provider, Not Yet Established	Nationally, by Center, by OA provider	Data and calculation to be completed by OPDR using the count of PY new enrollees as the denominator.			
32	Section 159(d)(1)	(N) the cost per graduate, which is calculated by comparing the number of graduates of the center in a program year compared to the total budget for such center in the same program year;	Cost per graduate	Total cost per center per PY Number of graduates in the PY	No	Nationally, by Center	Data and calculation to be completed by OPDR using the count of PY graduates as the denominator.			

¹Overarching Specifications:

NOJC decided on the following policies/rules for the purposes of reporting WIOA legislation outcomes: 1) re-enrollments: credits will be given for each enrollment based upon the achievements attained during that enrollment and the placements entered after that enrollment (note: re-enrollment in Job Corps is not credited as a placement); 2) the center of separation will receive all credits earned by the student for AT transfers; 4) the last CTS provider will receive all credits for CTS transfers; and, 5) no flow-back credit will be granted to centers or CTS providers.

Job Corps Annual Report for PY 2019 Section II: National Results

Indicator #		Measure Description	PY19 Result			
1	2nd Quarter Place	ment Rate	74.8%			
2	4th Quarter Place		70.6%			
3	Median 2nd Quart		\$4,763			
4		Credential Attainment Rate				
5	Measurable Skill G	Measurable Skill Gains Rate				
6	Employer Retention	mployer Retention Rate				
7		lumber of Enrollees Recruited				
8	Number of 90-Day	lumber of 90-Day Commitments				
9	Number of Enrolle	es Served	61,410			
	Number of Enrolle	lumber of Enrollees Served By:				
		16	7,851			
		17	8,876			
		18	12,689			
		19	10,640			
10	Age	20	7,294			
10		21	5,280			
		22	3,740			
		23	2,796			
		24	2,080			
		25+	164			
		Hispanic/Latino	13,279			
		American Indian or Alaskan Native	1,641			
	Race/Ethnicity	Asian	741			
11		Black/African-American	27,837			
		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	539			
		White	14,948			
		More Than One Race ¹	2,425			
12	Gender	Male	39,505			
12	Gender	Female	21,905			
		No HSD/HSE at Entry ²	34,970			
13	Education Level	HSD/HSE at Entry	26,428			
		Some Post-secondary	12			
14	Income Level	Low Income Individual	61,270			
15	Number of Gradua		14,878			
16	Number of Gradua	ates Placed in Armed Forces ³	639			
17	Number of Gradua	ates Entered Apprenticeships ³	641			
18	Number of Gradua	ates Received HSD ⁴	4,634			
19	Number of Gradua	ates Received HSE ⁴	2,359			
20	Number of Placed	Graduates with a JTM ³	8,165			
21		Graduates without a JTM	6,950			
22		r Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	18,064			
23	Percent of Former	Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	54.8%			
24		tes Entered Postsecondary Education	7.1%			
25	Number of Gradua	ates Entered Postsecondary Education ³	1,068			

Job Corps Annual Report for PY 2019 Section II: National Results

Indicator #	Measure Description	PY19 Result
26	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	\$12.73
27	Average Graduate Wage After 6 Months of Employment	\$14.84
28	Percent of In-State Enrollees	77.9%
29	Percent of Within Region Enrollees⁵	93.9%
30	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ⁶	6.1%
31	Cost per Enrollee ⁷	\$44,001.28
32	Cost per Graduate	\$96,206.58

NOTES:

¹Students who self-identify as one or more race and Hispanic are included in this category.

²Students who self-identify as having completed 12 years of schooling but did not indicate they received a high school diploma or its equivalent are included in this category.

³Counts of graduates who were placed in the Armed Forces, Apprenticeship programs, a jobtraining match job or post-secondary education (Measures 16, 17, 20 and 25) are not mutually exclusive. That is, the sum of the number of graduates under each of these four placement categories does not equal the total number of individual graduates. A graduate who enters more than one placement during their placement service window is counted under each type of placement as long as the placement meets the definition for measures 16, 17, 20 and/or 25.

⁴Indicators 18 and 19 respectively present the number of graduates who attained an HSD and HSE while in Job Corps.

⁵"Within Region" is defined as the Job Corps Region in which the center is located.

⁶"Surrounding Regions" is defined as the other five Job Corps Regions and excludes the Region in which the center is located.

⁷Enrollee is defined as the count of new enrollees during PY 2019, and excludes enrollees served during the program year that enrolled prior to July 1, 2019.

	Indicator #			1		2	3		
Center ID	Center Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings	
	National Result for	Centers	74.8%		70.6%		\$4,763		
10100	Grafton	Boston	68.2%	93	69.4%	57	\$5,599	93	
10200	Northlands	Boston	71.4%	77	++++	NA	++++	77	
10300	Penobscot	Boston	76.6%	43	69.8%	53	\$5,126	43	
10400	Westover	Boston	69.4%	90	65.4%	78	\$4,212	90	
10500	New Haven	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
10600	Loring	Boston	75.0%	57	78.3%	10	\$6,841	57	
10700	Shriver	Boston	81.9%	12	74.1%	24	\$5,211	12	
10800	Exeter	Boston	79.1%	22	65.6%	77	++++	22	
10900	Hartford	Boston	77.4%	35	67.2%	67	++++	35	
11000	New Hampshire	Boston	78.8%	24	70.4%	48	\$4,836	24	
20100	Arecibo	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
20200	Barranquitas	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
20300	Cassadaga	Boston	77.9%	32	64.9%	81	\$6,115	32	
20400	Delaware Valley	Boston	65.7%	99	50.0%	96	++++	99	
20500	Edison	Boston	76.1%	47	65.4%	79	\$4,841	47	
20700	Glenmont	Boston	82.0%	11	73.0%	29	\$5,521	11	
20800	Iroquois	Boston	61.4%	103	78.3%	9	++++	103	
20900	Oneonta	Boston	79.6%	19	78.6%	8	\$5,943	19	
21000	Ramey	Boston	69.6%	89	58.4%	95	\$3,621	89	
21100	South Bronx	Boston	74.8%	63	59.4%	91	\$5,036	63	
30100	Blue Ridge	Philadelphia	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
30200	Charleston	Philadelphia	76.7%	42	64.9%	82	\$5,233	42	
30400	Flatwoods	Philadelphia	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
30500	Harpers Ferry	Philadelphia	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
30600	Keystone	Philadelphia	70.3%	86	67.6%	65	\$3,995	86	
30700	Old Dominion	Philadelphia	76.0%	51	76.7%	12	\$4,886	51	
30800	Philadelphia	Philadelphia	78.9%	23	68.4%	62	\$4,389	23	
30900	Pittsburgh	Philadelphia	87.8%	3	79.8%	5	\$4,474	3	
31000	Potomac	Philadelphia	80.6%	17	71.2%	47	\$5,406	17	

	Indicator #			1		2		3		
Center ID	Center Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings		
	National Result for Centers		74.8%		70.6%		\$4,763			
31100	Red Rock	Philadelphia	71.7%	76	71.4%	41	++++	76		
31200	Woodland	Philadelphia	69.8%	88	64.3%	85	\$4,290	88		
31300	Woodstock	Philadelphia	75.8%	53	80.2%	4	\$4,692	53		
31500	Carl D.Perkins	Philadelphia	76.5%	44	74.6%	21	\$5,520	44		
31600	Earle C. Clements	Philadelphia	75.3%	56	76.3%	13	\$4,102	56		
31700	Frenchburg	Philadelphia	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA		
31800	Great Onyx	Philadelphia	85.7%	5	76.0%	15	\$5,255	5		
31900	Pine Knot	Philadelphia	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA		
32000	Whitney M. Young	Philadelphia	76.3%	45	75.9%	17	\$4,461	45		
32100	Muhlenberg	Philadelphia	80.2%	18	74.7%	20	\$4,809	18		
32200	Wilmington	Philadelphia	72.4%	73	70.3%	49	++++	73		
40200	Bamberg	Atlanta	75.0%	57	66.7%	69	++++	57		
40300	Finch-Henry	Atlanta	70.7%	82	69.6%	56	\$6,227	82		
40400	Brunswick	Atlanta	76.1%	47	72.8%	30	\$4,058	47		
41000	Gulfport	Atlanta	78.1%	28	74.6%	21	++++	28		
41100	Jacksonville	Atlanta	78.3%	26	67.1%	68	\$4,614	26		
41200	Jacobs Creek	Atlanta	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA		
41300	Kittrell	Atlanta	71.1%	80	63.9%	86	\$3,646	80		
41500	Lyndon Johnson	Atlanta	81.2%	14	++++	NA	\$3,840	14		
41600	Miami	Atlanta	78.5%	25	71.6%	40	\$4,763	25		
41700	Mississippi	Atlanta	73.1%	71	66.0%	73	\$2,750	71		
41800	Oconaluftee	Atlanta	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA		
42000	Schenck	Atlanta	74.1%	66	++++	NA	++++	66		
42100	Turner	Atlanta	77.3%	36	71.8%	37	\$3,647	36		
42400	Gadsden	Atlanta	68.6%	91	71.3%	44	\$3,484	91		
42500	BL Hooks/Memphis	Atlanta	83.8%	7	71.2%	45	\$4,716	7		
42600	Montgomery	Atlanta	80.8%	15	68.7%	60	\$3,610	15		
42800	Pinellas County	Atlanta	77.9%	31	75.0%	19	\$4,680	31		
50100	Atterbury	Chicago	74.9%	62	71.8%	38	\$4,436	62		

	Indicator #			1		2		3
Center ID	Center Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings
	National Result for Centers		74.8%		70.6%		\$4,763	
50200	Blackwell	Chicago	77.2%	37	++++	NA	++++	37
50300	Cincinnati	Chicago	74.7%	64	76.8%	11	\$4,649	64
50400	Cleveland	Chicago	77.8%	33	79.2%	6	++++	33
50500	Dayton	Chicago	76.2%	46	78.7%	7	\$5,159	46
50600	Detroit	Chicago	67.4%	96	61.3%	88	++++	96
50800	Gerald R. Ford	Chicago	71.2%	79	65.9%	75	++++	79
50900	H. Humphrey	Chicago	79.3%	21	71.4%	41	\$4,950	21
51000	Joliet	Chicago	66.1%	98	67.5%	66	\$4,859	98
51100	Flint/Genesee	Chicago	72.0%	75	67.9%	64	++++	75
51200	Paul Simon Chicago	Chicago	70.7%	83	72.7%	31	\$5,174	83
51300	Milwaukee	Chicago	65.5%	100	63.3%	87	++++	100
51400	Ottumwa	Chicago	77.0%	40	72.1%	34	\$5,208	40
60100	Albuquerque	Dallas	70.6%	84	74.3%	23	\$5,057	84
60200	Cass	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
60300	David Carrasco	Dallas	62.3%	102	69.8%	54	\$3,757	102
60400	Gary	Dallas	73.7%	69	69.2%	58	\$4,751	69
60500	Guthrie	Dallas	63.2%	101	70.2%	51	\$4,363	101
60600	Laredo	Dallas	75.9%	52	76.0%	15	++++	52
60700	Little Rock	Dallas	70.5%	85	69.7%	55	\$4,006	85
60800	North Texas	Dallas	71.4%	78	68.5%	61	\$3,542	78
60900	New Orleans	Dallas	71.0%	81	64.8%	84	\$4,671	81
61100	Roswell	Dallas	75.0%	57	66.7%	69	++++	57
61200	Shreveport	Dallas	68.0%	94	68.2%	63	\$3,915	94
61300	Talking Leaves	Dallas	66.3%	97	61.3%	89	\$3,734	97
61500	Tulsa	Dallas	78.0%	29	73.4%	26	\$4,830	29
61600	Carville	Dallas	61.2%	104	65.7%	76	++++	104
61700	Wind River	Dallas	73.8%	68	70.0%	52	\$5,304	68
70100	Denison	Chicago	77.9%	30	71.9%	36	\$5,296	30
70200	Excelsior Springs	Chicago	74.1%	67	71.4%	41	\$4,680	67

	Indicator #			1		2	3	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings
	National Result for	Centers	74.8%		70.6%		\$4,763	
70300	Mingo	Chicago	76.0%	49	++++	NA	++++	49
70400	Pine Ridge	Chicago	86.8%	4	60.0%	90	++++	4
70500	St Louis	Chicago	73.2%	70	75.7%	18	\$4,639	70
70600	Flint Hills	Chicago	77.0%	39	58.9%	93	++++	39
80100	Anaconda	Dallas	92.2%	1	85.3%	1	\$5,496	1
80200	Boxelder	Dallas	59.6%	105	++++	NA	++++	105
80300	Clearfield	Dallas	72.9%	72	71.7%	39	\$5,065	72
80400	Collbran	Dallas	81.4%	13	72.6%	32	++++	13
80600	Trapper Creek	Dallas	89.1%	2	85.2%	2	\$7,374	2
80700	Weber Basin	Dallas	83.6%	9	++++	NA	++++	9
80800	Quentin Burdick	Dallas	67.8%	95	71.9%	35	++++	95
90100	Hawaii	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
90200	Inland Empire	San Francisco	70.1%	87	66.2%	72	\$3,763	87
90300	Los Angeles	San Francisco	75.5%	55	73.3%	27	\$5,547	55
90400	Phoenix	San Francisco	75.0%	57	65.3%	80	\$5,771	57
90500	Sacramento	San Francisco	68.4%	92	66.0%	73	\$4,856	92
90600	San Diego	San Francisco	75.5%	54	70.2%	50	\$6,276	54
90700	San Jose	San Francisco	77.2%	38	73.0%	28	\$4,754	38
90800	Sierra Nevada	San Francisco	72.2%	74	71.2%	46	\$5,277	74
90900	Treasure Island	San Francisco	79.6%	20	76.2%	14	\$4,886	20
91000	Fred G. Acosta	San Francisco	78.2%	27	58.9%	92	\$5,118	27
91100	Long Beach	San Francisco	80.7%	16	58.7%	94	\$5,451	16
100100	Angell	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
100200	Cascades	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
100300	Columbia Basin	San Francisco	75.0%	57	72.3%	33	\$5,505	57
100400	Curlew	San Francisco	82.4%	10	++++	NA	++++	10
100500	Fort Simcoe	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
100600	Centennial	San Francisco	83.7%	8	66.7%	69	\$5,623	8
100700	Springdale	San Francisco	76.0%	49	74.1%	25	++++	49

	Indicator #			1		2	3	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings
	National Result for Centers		74.8%		70.6%		\$4,763	
100800	Timber Lake	San Francisco	77.8%	33	++++	NA	\$5,593	33
100900	Tongue Point	San Francisco	76.7%	41	81.1%	3	\$6,051	41
101000	Wolf Creek	San Francisco	84.5%	6	69.1%	59	\$4,973	6
101100	Alaska	San Francisco	74.7%	65	64.8%	83	\$6,317	65

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

	Measure #			1	5		6	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
	National Result for	Centers	85.8%		48.5%		58.2%	
10100	Grafton	Boston	100.0%	1	49.2%	59	++++	NA
10200	Northlands	Boston	++++	NA	43.5%	87	++++	NA
10300	Penobscot	Boston	87.2%	43	51.3%	48	++++	NA
10400	Westover	Boston	81.5%	73	37.8%	107	++++	NA
10500	New Haven	Boston	++++	NA	36.6%	109	++++	NA
10600	Loring	Boston	94.8%	9	51.3%	47	++++	NA
10700	Shriver	Boston	87.0%	44	62.3%	6	++++	NA
10800	Exeter	Boston	84.3%	62	55.0%	25	++++	NA
10900	Hartford	Boston	86.0%	52	56.0%	18	++++	NA
11000	New Hampshire	Boston	88.0%	37	42.4%	93	++++	NA
20100	Arecibo	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
20200	Barranquitas	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
20300	Cassadaga	Boston	92.2%	18	48.1%	71	58.5%	17
20400	Delaware Valley	Boston	++++	NA	51.6%	44	++++	NA
20500	Edison	Boston	75.6%	80	52.8%	35	51.9%	34
20700	Glenmont	Boston	86.5%	48	51.5%	45	62.0%	12
20800	Iroquois	Boston	++++	NA	43.0%	91	++++	NA
20900	Oneonta	Boston	95.2%	5	59.0%	12	62.1%	11
21000	Ramey	Boston	68.9%	83	67.9%	3	++++	NA
21100	South Bronx	Boston	80.0%	75	49.6%	56	57.6%	21
30100	Blue Ridge	Philadelphia	++++	NA	37.0%	108	++++	NA
30200	Charleston	Philadelphia	91.5%	20	49.8%	53	48.0%	38
30400	Flatwoods	Philadelphia	++++	NA	46.8%	76	++++	NA
30500	Harpers Ferry	Philadelphia	++++	NA	54.7%	27	++++	NA
30600	Keystone	Philadelphia	97.7%	2	42.1%	96	60.3%	14
30700	Old Dominion	Philadelphia	87.9%	38	46.5%	77	50.9%	35
30800	Philadelphia	Philadelphia	85.3%	58	50.6%	51	68.2%	4
30900	Pittsburgh	Philadelphia	93.2%	16	55.3%	23	75.0%	1
31000	Potomac	Philadelphia	94.4%	11	41.7%	97	57.4%	22

	Measure #			4	5		6	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
	National Result for	Centers	85.8%		48.5%		58.2%	
31100	Red Rock	Philadelphia	86.3%	49	56.5%	15	++++	NA
31200	Woodland	Philadelphia	82.8%	70	53.6%	32	++++	NA
31300	Woodstock	Philadelphia	94.3%	12	31.2%	115	64.5%	6
31500	Carl D.Perkins	Philadelphia	90.4%	26	50.5%	52	++++	NA
31600	Earle C. Clements	Philadelphia	95.0%	7	52.3%	39	60.6%	13
31700	Frenchburg	Philadelphia	++++	NA	48.1%	70	++++	NA
31800	Great Onyx	Philadelphia	++++	NA	69.9%	2	++++	NA
31900	Pine Knot	Philadelphia	++++	NA	32.5%	114	++++	NA
32000	Whitney M. Young	Philadelphia	89.7%	28	48.0%	72	++++	NA
32100	Muhlenberg	Philadelphia	94.2%	13	61.9%	8	59.4%	16
32200	Wilmington	Philadelphia	++++	NA	38.3%	105	++++	NA
40200	Bamberg	Atlanta	93.8%	15	56.1%	17	++++	NA
40300	Finch-Henry	Atlanta	92.6%	17	48.7%	65	++++	NA
40400	Brunswick	Atlanta	87.6%	39	49.5%	57	49.3%	37
41000	Gulfport	Atlanta	96.2%	3	54.6%	28	++++	NA
41100	Jacksonville	Atlanta	86.2%	50	52.6%	36	++++	NA
41200	Jacobs Creek	Atlanta	++++	NA	55.4%	22	++++	NA
41300	Kittrell	Atlanta	89.1%	33	40.2%	100	++++	NA
41500	Lyndon Johnson	Atlanta	++++	NA	55.1%	24	++++	NA
41600	Miami	Atlanta	84.8%	60	54.5%	29	++++	NA
41700	Mississippi	Atlanta	86.0%	51	35.3%	112	++++	NA
41800	Oconaluftee	Atlanta	++++	NA	47.7%	73	++++	NA
42000	Schenck	Atlanta	++++	NA	62.7%	5	++++	NA
42100	Turner	Atlanta	88.6%	34	45.9%	82	58.3%	20
42400	Gadsden	Atlanta	++++	NA	48.8%	63	++++	NA
42500	BL Hooks/Memphis	Atlanta	91.2%	22	49.7%	54	++++	NA
42600	Montgomery	Atlanta	83.0%	69	41.2%	98	67.9%	5
42800	Pinellas County	Atlanta	91.2%	22	55.5%	20	++++	NA
50100	Atterbury	Chicago	87.4%	40	41.0%	99	54.7%	29

	Measure #			4	5		6	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
	National Result for	Centers	85.8%		48.5%		58.2%	
50200	Blackwell	Chicago	++++	NA	38.3%	104	++++	NA
50300	Cincinnati	Chicago	87.3%	41	63.0%	4	++++	NA
50400	Cleveland	Chicago	86.7%	47	43.5%	88	63.2%	9
50500	Dayton	Chicago	83.3%	67	49.7%	55	53.8%	31
50600	Detroit	Chicago	90.4%	25	60.4%	9	46.2%	39
50800	Gerald R. Ford	Chicago	88.6%	35	74.6%	1	++++	NA
50900	H. Humphrey	Chicago	83.8%	65	52.0%	41	50.9%	36
51000	Joliet	Chicago	84.6%	61	35.9%	111	++++	NA
51100	Flint/Genesee	Chicago	76.7%	77	46.4%	79	++++	NA
51200	Paul Simon Chicago	Chicago	85.6%	56	46.9%	75	++++	NA
51300	Milwaukee	Chicago	75.3%	81	48.4%	68	53.7%	32
51400	Ottumwa	Chicago	96.1%	4	48.9%	62	++++	NA
60100	Albuquerque	Dallas	68.5%	84	37.8%	106	++++	NA
60200	Cass	Dallas	++++	NA	40.0%	101	++++	NA
60300	David Carrasco	Dallas	85.6%	55	52.4%	38	56.9%	24
60400	Gary	Dallas	83.5%	66	43.5%	90	56.1%	27
60500	Guthrie	Dallas	76.4%	79	48.3%	69	70.6%	3
60600	Laredo	Dallas	++++	NA	52.5%	37	++++	NA
60700	Little Rock	Dallas	89.5%	30	48.8%	64	56.0%	28
60800	North Texas	Dallas	72.2%	82	49.0%	60	56.1%	26
60900	New Orleans	Dallas	86.9%	46	38.5%	103	++++	NA
61100	Roswell	Dallas	++++	NA	42.2%	94	++++	NA
61200	Shreveport	Dallas	85.3%	58	46.0%	80	++++	NA
61300	Talking Leaves	Dallas	83.3%	67	58.4%	14	++++	NA
61500	Tulsa	Dallas	80.2%	74	55.4%	21	++++	NA
61600	Carville	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
61700	Wind River	Dallas	76.7%	77	42.2%	94	++++	NA
70100	Denison	Chicago	86.0%	52	46.0%	81	58.3%	18
70200	Excelsior Springs	Chicago	79.0%	76	51.5%	46	58.3%	18

	Measure #			1	5		6	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
	National Result for	Centers	85.8%		48.5%		58.2%	
70300	Mingo	Chicago	++++	NA	51.9%	42	++++	NA
70400	Pine Ridge	Chicago	82.4%	71	62.2%	7	++++	NA
70500	St Louis	Chicago	91.0%	24	46.5%	78	63.8%	8
70600	Flint Hills	Chicago	87.0%	44	55.6%	19	++++	NA
80100	Anaconda	Dallas	89.7%	28	54.0%	30	++++	NA
80200	Boxelder	Dallas	++++	NA	53.1%	34	++++	NA
80300	Clearfield	Dallas	83.8%	64	51.9%	43	52.7%	33
80400	Collbran	Dallas	82.0%	72	49.0%	61	++++	NA
80600	Trapper Creek	Dallas	94.6%	10	59.7%	10	++++	NA
80700	Weber Basin	Dallas	++++	NA	43.0%	92	++++	NA
80800	Quentin Burdick	Dallas	++++	NA	50.7%	50	++++	NA
90100	Hawaii	San Francisco	++++	NA	47.6%	74	++++	NA
90200	Inland Empire	San Francisco	85.3%	57	53.6%	31	++++	NA
90300	Los Angeles	San Francisco	91.4%	21	43.5%	89	57.3%	23
90400	Phoenix	San Francisco	87.3%	42	49.4%	58	63.0%	10
90500	Sacramento	San Francisco	84.3%	63	36.3%	110	++++	NA
90600	San Diego	San Francisco	94.2%	14	52.3%	40	56.8%	25
90700	San Jose	San Francisco	89.4%	31	55.0%	26	74.1%	2
90800	Sierra Nevada	San Francisco	85.9%	54	48.4%	67	54.5%	30
90900	Treasure Island	San Francisco	95.0%	8	48.5%	66	64.3%	7
91000	Fred G. Acosta	San Francisco	88.2%	36	50.9%	49	++++	NA
91100	Long Beach	San Francisco	89.2%	32	44.2%	85	++++	NA
100100	Angell	San Francisco	++++	NA	38.6%	102	++++	NA
100200	Cascades	San Francisco	++++	NA	14.9%	116	++++	NA
100300	Columbia Basin	San Francisco	91.5%	19	56.2%	16	++++	NA
100400	Curlew	San Francisco	++++	NA	53.5%	33	++++	NA
100500	Fort Simcoe	San Francisco	++++	NA	58.7%	13	++++	NA
100600	Centennial	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
100700	Springdale	San Francisco	++++	NA	45.6%	83	++++	NA

	Measure #			4		5		6	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking	
	National Result for Centers		85.8%		48.5%		58.2%		
100800	Timber Lake	San Francisco	++++	NA	34.8%	113	++++	NA	
100900	Tongue Point	San Francisco	89.9%	27	44.7%	84	60.0%	15	
101000	Wolf Creek	San Francisco	++++	NA	59.3%	11	++++	NA	
101100	Alaska	San Francisco	95.1%	6	43.6%	86	++++	NA	

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

Job Corps WIOA Annual Report for PY 2019 SECTION IV: Career Transition Service Provider Ratings and Rankings for Primary Six Measures

	Indicator #		1			2		3	
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings	
N	National Result for CTS Providers		76.9%		72.0%		\$4,875		
NYMTBR	BROOKLYN OACTS	Boston	79.4%	30	61.4%	70	++++	NA	
NYGACA	CASSADAGA JC	Boston	78.2%	35	67.9%	58	\$6,019	9	
NYAADV	D. VALLEY CT	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
NJMTED	EDISON MTC CTS	Boston	83.7%	7	67.7%	59	\$5,244	21	
RIAAEX	EXETER CTS JCC	Boston	80.4%	22	70.9%	44	++++	NA	
NYAAGL	GLENMONT OACTS	Boston	84.4%	5	72.3%	34	\$5,521	14	
MAAAGR	GRAFTON JCC	Boston	72.6%	65	73.5%	28	\$6,079	6	
CTMTHF	HARTFORD OACTS	Boston	76.9%	44	++++	NA	++++	NA	
NYCSIR	IROQUOIS OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
MECSLO	LORING JCC	Boston	82.7%	11	78.9%	5	++++	NA	
NHAANH	N. HAMPSHIRE	Boston	79.8%	29	69.4%	52	\$4,942	32	
CTSRNH	NEW HAVEN OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
NYAONJ	NEW YORK NEW JER	Boston	++++	NA	72.3%	34	++++	NA	
VTETNO	NORTHLANDS OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
NYETON	ONEONTA JCC	Boston	81.2%	18	80.9%	3	\$6,600	3	
MECSPE	PENOBSCOT CTS	Boston	74.7%	57	68.8%	54	\$4,791	36	
PRJPPR	PUERTO RICO	Boston	70.5%	69	53.0%	72	++++	NA	
VIRCST	RES-CARE VI	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA	
MAAPSH	SHRIVER OA/CTS	Boston	81.8%	16	73.3%	29	\$5,169	22	
NYMTSB	SOUTH BRONX CTS	Boston	75.4%	55	61.8%	69	++++	NA	
MAAPWE	WESTOVER API	Boston	76.4%	48	65.5%	64	++++	NA	
WVHYCH	CHARLESTON	Philadelphia	80.3%	24	++++	NA	\$5,968	10	
DEMTWI	DE CTS	Philadelphia	77.8%	39	71.4%	41	++++	NA	
KYMTEC	EARLE CLEMENTS C	Philadelphia	80.3%	23	++++	NA	\$4,111	50	
KYINTG	KENTUCKY OA & CT	Philadelphia	76.4%	48	81.2%	1	++++	NA	
KYINMB	MUHLENBERG O	Philadelphia	82.7%	11	++++	NA	++++	NA	
KYINCP	PERKINS OA/C	Philadelphia	85.2%	3	++++	NA	\$5,330	18	
PAJPPH	PHILADELPHIA	Philadelphia	79.8%	28	67.3%	60	\$4,493	45	
PAOMPB	PITTS OACTS	Philadelphia	88.0%	1	78.4%	10	\$4,546	44	

Job Corps WIOA Annual Report for PY 2019 SECTION IV: Career Transition Service Provider Ratings and Rankings for Primary Six Measures

	Indicator #		1			2	3	
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings
٨	lational Result for CTS Prov	iders	76.9%		72.0%		\$4,875	
DCEXPT	POTOMAC OA/CTS	Philadelphia	82.0%	15	69.6%	51	\$4,890	34
PARCRR	RED ROCK CTS	Philadelphia	74.4%	60	72.7%	33	\$4,338	46
VAIN00	VIRGINIA INSIGHT	Philadelphia	79.3%	32	75.8%	17	\$4,886	35
KYHYWY	W. YOUNG CTS	Philadelphia	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
MDMNWL	WOODLAND OACTS	Philadelphia	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
MDMTWS	WOODSTOCK MTC	Philadelphia	80.1%	26	78.3%	11	\$4,686	39
SCHSBA	BAMBERG OACTS	Atlanta	74.3%	61	74.3%	24	++++	NA
TNETBH	BL HOOKS OACTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
GAMTBW	BRUNSWICK OA CTS	Atlanta	78.1%	36	74.7%	22	\$3,853	57
MSSRFH	FINCH HENRY SERR	Atlanta	77.9%	38	76.8%	14	\$6,234	5
ALCTGA	GADSDEN OA CTS	Atlanta	81.3%	17	75.8%	18	\$3,998	52
MSCEGU	GULFPORT OA/CTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
MSMNGP	GULFPORT OACTS	Atlanta	76.5%	47	73.2%	30	++++	NA
FLHSJA	JACKSONVILLE HSC	Atlanta	82.1%	14	72.0%	37	\$4,594	43
NCAAKI	KITTRELL OA/CTS	Atlanta	79.3%	31	78.6%	8	\$4,334	48
FLAAMI	MIAMI OACTS	Atlanta	80.2%	25	74.3%	24	\$4,992	30
ALAEMB	MOBILE AET OACTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
ALAEMG	MONTGOMERY O	Atlanta	78.8%	34	70.2%	47	\$4,076	51
MSCSMI	MS OA/CTS CSDC	Atlanta	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
NCJP00	N. CAROLINA OACT	Atlanta	++++	NA	68.5%	55	++++	NA
FLOMPC	PINELLAS OACTS	Atlanta	75.5%	53	78.6%	8	\$5,092	26
TNACMS	TN/MS OACTS ACE	Atlanta	85.4%	2	71.8%	40	\$5,070	27
NMAM00	ALBUQUERQUE JCC	Dallas	76.6%	46	77.4%	12	\$5,657	13
NDJP00	BURDICK OACTS	Dallas	70.3%	70	67.0%	61	\$6,062	8
LAPGCA	CARVILLE JCC	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
UTMTCL	CLEARFIELD O	Dallas	85.0%	4	81.1%	2	\$5,326	19
TXOMDC	D. CARRASCO OA C	Dallas	70.2%	71	71.8%	39	\$3,893	56
TXAAGY	GARY OA CTS	Dallas	75.6%	52	73.0%	32	\$5,349	17
OKCSGU	GUTHRIE OACTS	Dallas	66.7%	74	73.6%	27	\$3,940	55

	Indicator #		:	1		2		3
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings
٨	lational Result for CTS Prov	viders	76.9%		72.0%		\$4,875	
TXJPLA	LAREDO JCC	Dallas	76.7%	45	71.4%	41	\$3,970	53
AROMLR	LITTLE ROCK	Dallas	74.1%	62	73.6%	26	\$4,935	33
ARSRLR	LITTLE ROCK OACT	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
AROMLF	N. LITTLE ROCK	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
LAOMNO	NEW ORLEANS	Dallas	71.1%	67	68.1%	57	\$4,731	38
TXHYNT	NORTH TEXAS OA C	Dallas	71.5%	66	69.9%	49	\$3,654	59
LAMNSP	SHREVEPORT	Dallas	67.3%	73	72.3%	36	\$3,771	58
OKJPTL	TALKING LEAVES	Dallas	68.4%	72	62.7%	68	++++	NA
ОКОМТИ	TULSA OA CTS	Dallas	84.3%	6	73.2%	31	\$5,257	20
WYMTWR	WIND RIVER	Dallas	70.9%	68	74.4%	23	\$6,638	1
WYAPWR	WIND RIVER OA/CT	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
INMTAB	ATTERBURY OA/CTS	Chicago	75.5%	54	78.8%	6	\$4,600	42
OHSRCN	CINCINNATI OACTS	Chicago	77.3%	42	78.7%	7	\$4,639	41
IAMNDN	DENISON OA CTS	Chicago	80.4%	21	69.7%	50	\$5,400	16
MISRDE	DETROIT OA/CTS	Chicago	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
MOMIKC	EXCELSIOR SPRING	Chicago	77.9%	37	70.8%	45	\$4,680	40
MIAAFG	FLINT GENESEE	Chicago	73.4%	63	69.4%	53	\$4,134	49
MISRFG	FLINT GENESEE	Chicago	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
KSSRFH	FLINT HILLS OACT	Chicago	79.2%	33	62.9%	67	++++	NA
MNMTHH	HHJCC OA CTS	Chicago	83.5%	8	79.5%	4	\$4,968	31
MIHLGF	HLS GRF JCC	Chicago	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
INABC0	INDIANA ABC	Chicago	++++	NA	65.8%	62	++++	NA
ILAAJO	JOLIET OA CTS	Chicago	74.4%	59	71.9%	38	\$5,113	24
WIRCMJ	MILWAUKEE OACTS	Chicago	77.6%	41	76.8%	15	\$3,366	60
MOAASL	ST. LOUIS	Chicago	++++	NA	71.0%	43	++++	NA
MOMTSL	ST. LOUIS OACTS	Chicago	74.7%	56	++++	NA	\$4,337	47
AKCTPL	ALASKA OACTS	San Francisco	76.3%	50	65.5%	63	\$6,309	4
WAAACS	CASCADES AA	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
AZHLFA	FRED ACOSTA CTS	San Francisco	82.3%	13	63.2%	66	\$5,052	29

	Indicator #		1			2		3
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	2nd Quarter Placement Rate	2nd Quarter Placement Ranking	4th Quarter Placement Rate	4th Quarter Placement Ranking	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings	Median 2nd Quarter Earnings Rankings
N	lational Result for CTS Provi	iders	76.9%		72.0%		\$4,875	
HIJPHI	HAWAII JCC	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
CACEIE	INLAND EMPIRE	San Francisco	73.0%	64	70.0%	48	\$3,943	54
CAMTLA	LA CTS MTC	San Francisco	79.8%	27	75.0%	20	\$5,878	11
NVJPLV	LAS VEGAS	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
CAOMLB	LONG BEACH J	San Francisco	83.0%	9	65.2%	65	\$5,451	15
AZEMNA	N. AZ CTS EMC	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
NWDESI	NWDESI OA/CT	San Francisco	80.7%	20	76.2%	16	\$5,856	12
AZEMPX	PHOENIX CTS	San Francisco	++++	NA	61.0%	71	++++	NA
AZOMPX	PHOENIX OMG	San Francisco	75.7%	51	++++	NA	\$6,062	7
CACSSA	SACRAMENTO CTS	San Francisco	74.5%	58	70.4%	46	\$4,778	37
CAJPSD	SAN DIEGO JCC	San Francisco	77.6%	40	75.0%	20	\$6,614	2
CAJPSJ	SAN JOSE JCC	San Francisco	80.8%	19	75.6%	19	\$5,063	28
NVJPSN	SIERRA NEVADA	San Francisco	77.0%	43	68.2%	56	\$5,099	25
CAAATI	TREASURE ISLAND	San Francisco	82.8%	10	77.1%	13	\$5,147	23

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

	Indicator #			4	!	5		6
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
٨	lational Result for CTS Provi	ders	89.2%		59.9%		58.5%	
NYMTBR	BROOKLYN OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	54.1%	73	++++	NA
NYGACA	CASSADAGA JC	Boston	95.8%	11	62.8%	33	++++	NA
NYAADV	D. VALLEY CT	Boston	++++	NA	71.9%	10	++++	NA
NJMTED	EDISON MTC CTS	Boston	87.0%	52	62.3%	39	++++	NA
RIAAEX	EXETER CTS JCC	Boston	88.0%	49	68.5%	17	++++	NA
NYAAGL	GLENMONT OACTS	Boston	86.2%	54	61.4%	42	++++	NA
MAAAGR	GRAFTON JCC	Boston	100.0%	1	66.5%	23	++++	NA
CTMTHF	HARTFORD OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	57.7%	57	++++	NA
NYCSIR	IROQUOIS OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	53.2%	76	++++	NA
MECSLO	LORING JCC	Boston	98.1%	3	57.1%	58	++++	NA
NHAANH	N. HAMPSHIRE	Boston	89.4%	40	54.9%	69	++++	NA
CTSRNH	NEW HAVEN OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	46.4%	86	++++	NA
NYAONJ	NEW YORK NEW JER	Boston	93.8%	18	++++	NA	++++	NA
VTETNO	NORTHLANDS OACTS	Boston	++++	NA	55.0%	68	++++	NA
NYETON	ONEONTA JCC	Boston	96.2%	9	66.4%	24	++++	NA
MECSPE	PENOBSCOT CTS	Boston	90.0%	34	56.1%	62	++++	NA
PRJPPR	PUERTO RICO	Boston	63.2%	65	67.2%	20	52.0%	32
VIRCST	RES-CARE VI	Boston	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
MAAPSH	SHRIVER OA/CTS	Boston	++++	NA	70.6%	14	++++	NA
NYMTSB	SOUTH BRONX CTS	Boston	++++	NA	59.1%	52	++++	NA
MAAPWE	WESTOVER API	Boston	++++	NA	53.5%	75	++++	NA
WVHYCH	CHARLESTON	Philadelphia	++++	NA	63.5%	31	++++	NA
DEMTWI	DE CTS	Philadelphia	72.9%	64	50.9%	81	++++	NA
KYMTEC	EARLE CLEMENTS C	Philadelphia	++++	NA	70.1%	15	++++	NA
KYINTG	KENTUCKY OA & CT	Philadelphia	92.9%	21	++++	NA	66.7%	3
KYINMB	MUHLENBERG O	Philadelphia	++++	NA	71.1%	11	++++	NA
KYINCP	PERKINS OA/C	Philadelphia	++++	NA	65.6%	27	++++	NA
PAJPPH	PHILADELPHIA	Philadelphia	86.4%	53	55.9%	63	70.7%	2
PAOMPB	PITTS OACTS	Philadelphia	96.5%	8	61.1%	44	75.6%	1

	Indicator #			4		5		6
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
٨	lational Result for CTS Provi	iders	89.2%		59.9%		58.5%	
DCEXPT	POTOMAC OA/CTS	Philadelphia	92.6%	23	60.9%	45	++++	NA
PARCRR	RED ROCK CTS	Philadelphia	96.6%	6	62.5%	37	53.6%	29
VAIN00	VIRGINIA INSIGHT	Philadelphia	95.7%	12	53.8%	74	54.0%	27
KYHYWY	W. YOUNG CTS	Philadelphia	++++	NA	67.5%	19	++++	NA
MDMNWL	WOODLAND OACTS	Philadelphia	++++	NA	94.0%	1	++++	NA
MDMTWS	WOODSTOCK MTC	Philadelphia	97.8%	4	45.5%	87	57.3%	19
SCHSBA	BAMBERG OACTS	Atlanta	96.0%	10	68.4%	18	56.3%	24
TNETBH	BL HOOKS OACTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	83.1%	4	++++	NA
GAMTBW	BRUNSWICK OA CTS	Atlanta	92.3%	25	55.5%	65	57.5%	17
MSSRFH	FINCH HENRY SERR	Atlanta	94.0%	17	60.4%	48	++++	NA
ALCTGA	GADSDEN OA CTS	Atlanta	92.0%	28	62.3%	38	++++	NA
MSCEGU	GULFPORT OA/CTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	81.0%	6	++++	NA
MSMNGP	GULFPORT OACTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	50.7%	83	++++	NA
FLHSJA	JACKSONVILLE HSC	Atlanta	91.3%	30	62.2%	41	++++	NA
NCAAKI	KITTRELL OA/CTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	65.3%	28	++++	NA
FLAAMI	MIAMI OACTS	Atlanta	91.2%	31	62.3%	40	57.8%	15
ALAEMB	MOBILE AET OACTS	Atlanta	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
ALAEMG	MONTGOMERY O	Atlanta	92.6%	22	54.4%	72	66.7%	3
MSCSMI	MS OA/CTS CSDC	Atlanta	++++	NA	60.9%	46	++++	NA
NCJP00	N. CAROLINA OACT	Atlanta	84.6%	55	++++	NA	50.0%	33
FLOMPC	PINELLAS OACTS	Atlanta	92.0%	27	65.8%	25	57.0%	20
TNACMS	TN/MS OACTS ACE	Atlanta	92.3%	24	50.9%	82	56.4%	23
NMAM00	ALBUQUERQUE JCC	Dallas	80.0%	62	53.0%	77	++++	NA
NDJP00	BURDICK OACTS	Dallas	83.5%	56	60.4%	47	++++	NA
LAPGCA	CARVILLE JCC	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
UTMTCL	CLEARFIELD O	Dallas	89.5%	38	62.8%	32	61.8%	7
TXOMDC	D. CARRASCO OA C	Dallas	88.5%	47	58.0%	54	56.2%	25
TXAAGY	GARY OA CTS	Dallas	93.6%	19	55.6%	64	52.3%	30
OKCSGU	GUTHRIE OACTS	Dallas	74.7%	63	56.9%	59	++++	NA

	Indicator #			4	!	5		6
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
N	ational Result for CTS Provi	iders	89.2%		59.9%		58.5%	
TXJPLA	LAREDO JCC	Dallas	87.9%	50	70.9%	12	++++	NA
AROMLR	LITTLE ROCK	Dallas	90.4%	32	51.4%	80	53.8%	28
ARSRLR	LITTLE ROCK OACT	Dallas	++++	NA	83.5%	3	++++	NA
AROMLF	N. LITTLE ROCK	Dallas	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
LAOMNO	NEW ORLEANS	Dallas	88.2%	48	46.5%	85	++++	NA
TXHYNT	NORTH TEXAS OA C	Dallas	81.1%	61	56.6%	61	59.1%	13
LAMNSP	SHREVEPORT	Dallas	89.8%	35	52.9%	78	++++	NA
OKJPTL	TALKING LEAVES	Dallas	++++	NA	70.7%	13	++++	NA
ОКОМТИ	TULSA OA CTS	Dallas	82.9%	58	68.9%	16	++++	NA
WYMTWR	WIND RIVER	Dallas	89.6%	36	25.1%	88	61.2%	8
WYAPWR	WIND RIVER OA/CT	Dallas	++++	NA	59.1%	51	++++	NA
INMTAB	ATTERBURY OA/CTS	Chicago	94.4%	15	52.5%	79	++++	NA
OHSRCN	CINCINNATI OACTS	Chicago	89.6%	37	62.6%	36	56.6%	21
IAMNDN	DENISON OA CTS	Chicago	88.6%	46	59.4%	50	60.0%	11
MISRDE	DETROIT OA/CTS	Chicago	++++	NA	88.9%	2	++++	NA
MOMIKC	EXCELSIOR SPRING	Chicago	82.7%	59	65.7%	26	57.5%	17
MIAAFG	FLINT GENESEE	Chicago	94.6%	14	49.5%	84	52.1%	31
MISRFG	FLINT GENESEE	Chicago	++++	NA	82.9%	5	++++	NA
KSSRFH	FLINT HILLS OACT	Chicago	89.2%	42	75.5%	8	++++	NA
MNMTHH	HHJCC OA CTS	Chicago	87.9%	50	61.3%	43	++++	NA
MIHLGF	HLS GRF JCC	Chicago	++++	NA	77.6%	7	++++	NA
INABC0	INDIANA ABC	Chicago	81.3%	60	++++	NA	++++	NA
ILAAJO	JOLIET OA CTS	Chicago	89.3%	41	55.1%	67	57.6%	16
WIRCMJ	MILWAUKEE OACTS	Chicago	92.1%	26	64.4%	30	59.3%	12
MOAASL	ST. LOUIS	Chicago	94.0%	16	++++	NA	++++	NA
MOMTSL	ST. LOUIS OACTS	Chicago	++++	NA	57.9%	55	++++	NA
AKCTPL	ALASKA OACTS	San Francisco	100.0%	1	54.8%	70	++++	NA
WAAACS	CASCADES AA	San Francisco	++++	NA	14.7%	89	++++	NA
AZHLFA	FRED ACOSTA CTS	San Francisco	90.1%	33	74.5%	9	60.4%	10

	Indicator #			4	!	5		6
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Credential Attainment Rate	Credential Attainment Ranking	Measurable Skill Gains Rate	Measurable Skill Gains Ranking	Employer Retention Rate	Employer Retention Ranking
٨	lational Result for CTS Provi	ders	89.2%		59.9%		58.5%	
HIJPHI	HAWAII JCC	San Francisco	++++	NA	65.3%	29	++++	NA
CACEIE	INLAND EMPIRE	San Francisco	89.4%	39	62.8%	34	++++	NA
CAMTLA	LA CTS MTC	San Francisco	96.8%	5	54.5%	71	56.5%	22
NVJPLV	LAS VEGAS	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
CAOMLB	LONG BEACH J	San Francisco	91.6%	29	56.6%	60	++++	NA
AZEMNA	N. AZ CTS EMC	San Francisco	++++	NA	++++	NA	++++	NA
NWDESI	NWDESI OA/CT	San Francisco	89.1%	43	59.0%	53	61.0%	9
AZEMPX	PHOENIX CTS	San Francisco	83.3%	57	++++	NA	++++	NA
AZOMPX	PHOENIX OMG	San Francisco	++++	NA	67.1%	21	++++	NA
CACSSA	SACRAMENTO CTS	San Francisco	88.7%	45	55.3%	66	64.4%	6
CAJPSD	SAN DIEGO JCC	San Francisco	96.5%	7	66.5%	22	58.6%	14
CAJPSJ	SAN JOSE JCC	San Francisco	93.2%	20	60.3%	49	++++	NA
NVJPSN	SIERRA NEVADA	San Francisco	89.1%	44	62.8%	35	55.7%	26
CAAATI	TREASURE ISLAND	San Francisco	94.6%	13	57.8%	56	65.7%	5

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

	Indicator #		9					10									11			
			Number			Enrol	lees Ser	ved De	mogra	phics: A	∖ge				Enroll	ees Served	Demograph	ics: Race/Eth	nicity	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Enrollees Served	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25+	Hispanic/ Latino ¹	American Indian /Alaskan Native	Asian	Black/ African - American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	More Than One Race ²
National Re	sult for Centers		61410	7851	8876	12689	10640	7294	5280	3740	2796	2080	164	13279	1641	741	27837	539	14948	2425
10100	Grafton	Boston	458	43	52	89	90	60	52	38	16	15	++	184	++	5	132	++	119	17
10200	Northlands	Boston	357	51	48	58	71	47	24	33	19	5	++	81	5	++	104	++	156	10
10300	Penobscot	Boston	441	55	60	88	92	49	44	19	16	14	++	34	8	++	68	++	301	30
10400	Westover	Boston	665	65	108	130	117	84	63	41	27	22	8	302	++	++	220	++	112	28
10500	New Haven	Boston	298	27	38	59	61	45	29	23	10	6	Α	86	++	++	170	++	24	16
10600	Loring	Boston	368	31	35	64	78	50	42	23	26	18	++	71	++	++	123	++	147	18
10700	Shriver	Boston	494	40	56	83	95	63	62	42	28	21	++	172	++	11	161	++	127	23
10800	Exeter	Boston	352	50	62	62	60	43	24	22	19	8	++	110	++	++	97	++	118	20
10900	Hartford	Boston	355	28	54	77	59	38	35	30	24	8	++	151	++	++	164	++	26	11
11000	New Hampshire	Boston	519	76	65	121	100	55	34	29	22	14	++	100	++	11	87	++	306	13
20100	Arecibo	Boston	44	9	++	++	11	++	5	++	++	++	++	44	++	++	++	++	++	++
20300	Cassadaga	Boston	508	28	75	101	81	63	53	47	33	26	++	116	++	++	270	++	92	23
20400	Delaware Valley	Boston	362	105	66	52	33	43	21	18	12	11	++	92	++	++	247	++	17	++
20500	Edison	Boston	791	97	97	146	147	94	74	57	44	34	++	253	++	9	432	++	62	32
20700	Glenmont	Boston	561	112	85	94	84	66	46	26	26	22	++	128	++	++	314	++	92	21
20800	Iroquois	Boston	357	52	69	59	52	53	26	24	8	14	++	67	++	5	228	++	33	23
20900	Oneonta	Boston	561	28	88	106	115	71	60	31	33	28	++	124	++	11	311	++	89	21
21000	Ramey	Boston	732	239	161	96	82	53	39	27	21	11	++	730	++	++	++	++	++	++
21100	South Bronx	Boston	800	17	81	134	132	119	106	77	74	58	++	257	++	10	489	++	23	18
30100	Blue Ridge	Philadelphia	247	13	16	71	56	29	30	12	11	8	++	21	++	++	160	++	58	6
30200	Charleston	Philadelphia	501	35	45	112	100	68	56	36	18	28	++	28	++	++	355	++	96	19
30400	Flatwoods	Philadelphia	154	17	21	43	24	18	18	7	++	++	++	6	++	++	96	++	46	6
30500	Harpers Ferry	Philadelphia	184	12	22	36	30	23	21	16	6	15	++	16	++	++	117	++	35	14
30600	Keystone	Philadelphia	757	140	130	176	128	58	41	36	30	16	++	149	++	++	483	++	99	23
30700	Old Dominion	Philadelphia	434	34	48	100	94	59	37	28	19	14	++	34	++	++	312	++	73	9
30800	Philadelphia	Philadelphia	595	7	61	118	115	74	71	57	52	40	++	56	++	15	496	++	15	13
30900	Pittsburgh	Philadelphia	983	49	89	380	194	100	70	44	32	21	++	63	++	32	444	++	403	41
31000	Potomac	Philadelphia	697	52	73	152	131	91	65	57	36	36	++	61	++	++	552	++	59	22
31100	Red Rock	Philadelphia	443	83	83	100	67	34	33	16	13	13	++	114	++	++	191	++	119	16

	Indicator #		9					10									11			
			Number			Enrol	lees Ser	ved De	mogra	phics: A	∖ge				Enroll	ees Served	Demograph	ics: Race/Eth	nicity	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Enrollees Served	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25+	Hispanic/ Latino ¹	American Indian /Alaskan Native	Asian	Black/ African - American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	More Than One Race ²
National Re	sult for Centers		61410	7851	8876	12689	10640	7294	5280	3740	2796	2080	164	13279	1641	741	27837	539	14948	2425
31200	Woodland	Philadelphia	396	76	71	90	59	36	25	13	13	11	++	37	++	++	311	++	37	11
31300	Woodstock	Philadelphia	721	99	108	141	134	93	62	36	26	19	++	50	++	++	570	++	70	28
31500	Carl D.Perkins	Philadelphia	348	36	35	79	68	50	25	30	11	12	++	30	++	++	119	5	172	18
31600	Earle C. Clements	Philadelphia	1121	99	101	198	230	168	114	90	75	46	++	63	++	29	698	++	293	36
31700	Frenchburg	Philadelphia	145	34	23	31	20	13	6	8	5	++	++	12	++	++	55	++	71	6
31800	Great Onyx	Philadelphia	236	7	21	37	56	38	32	16	20	9	++	17	++	++	124	++	82	8
31900	Pine Knot	Philadelphia	231	25	28	53	38	21	25	19	14	7	++	16	++	++	95	++	112	8
32000	Whitney M. Young	Philadelphia	399	67	56	84	77	41	26	19	16	13	++	21	++	++	232	++	115	28
32100	Muhlenberg	Philadelphia	559	52	69	107	90	81	57	36	35	31	++	41	++	++	322	++	179	15
32200	Wilmington	Philadelphia	250	26	43	51	44	28	20	19	10	9	++	32	++	++	198	++	12	8
40200	Bamberg	Altanta	418	54	63	87	72	58	35	21	13	15	++	17	++	++	323	++	61	16
40300	Finch-Henry	Altanta	417	60	79	92	62	50	28	28	14	++	++	6	++	++	357	++	44	7
40400	Brunswick	Altanta	653	59	82	144	127	103	64	31	27	14	++	23	++	++	555	++	68	5
41000	Gulfport	Altanta	244	16	50	49	38	33	25	11	14	8	++	++	++	++	189	++	39	12
41100	Jacksonville	Altanta	483	52	87	95	92	58	38	19	17	21	++	36	++	++	339	++	91	13
41200	Jacobs Creek	Altanta	180	14	24	42	49	19	14	8	8	++	++	12	++	++	80	++	80	7
41300	Kittrell	Altanta	500	57	61	94	97	90	41	30	16	14	++	33	++	++	391	++	57	11
41500	Lyndon Johnson	Altanta	239	21	29	45	59	34	19	11	14	7	++	13	++	++	160	++	54	6
41600	Miami	Altanta	448	41	66	85	86	63	41	26	26	14	++	85	++	++	321	++	29	8
41700	Mississippi	Altanta	398	90	79	67	54	42	26	17	18	5	++	7	++	++	333	++	52	5
41800	Oconaluftee	Altanta	191	10	19	59	34	26	12	13	9	9	++	8	++	++	120	++	56	++
42000	Schenck	Altanta	322	39	42	71	55	38	34	21	12	10	++	26	++	++	176	++	104	10
42100	Turner	Altanta	1260	248	239	242	206	119	87	48	45	25	++	41	++	++	1073	++	118	24
42400	Gadsden	Altanta	411	57	81	96	63	39	30	20	16	9	++	16	++	++	309	++	72	14
42500	BL Hooks/Memphis	Altanta	431	49	72	94	60	61	26	28	23	18	++	17	++	++	367	++	40	++
42600	Montgomery	Altanta	523	25	125	125	100	55	39	26	20	8	++	13	++	++	435	++	67	8
42800	Pinellas County	Altanta	558	57	70	131	87	80	60	37	25	11	++	102	++	++	314	++	125	16
50100	Atterbury	Chicago	957	185	179	189	136	109	53	44	29	31	++	80	++	++	568	++	275	28
50200	Blackwell	Chicago	179	35	28	33	35	19	14	10	++	++	++	25	++	++	56	++	80	11

	Indicator #		9					10									11			
			Number			Enrol	lees Ser	ved De	mogra	phics: A	\ge				Enroll	ees Served	Demograph	ics: Race/Eth	nicity	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Enrollees Served	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25+	Hispanic/ Latino ¹	American Indian /Alaskan Native	Asian	Black/ African - American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	More Than One Race ²
National Re	sult for Centers		61410	7851	8876	12689	10640	7294	5280	3740	2796	2080	164	13279	1641	741	27837	539	14948	2425
50300	Cincinnati	Chicago	424	72	74	87	64	46	32	24	12	12	++	19	++	++	311	++	66	25
50400	Cleveland	Chicago	475	68	75	73	78	59	37	35	27	20	++	23	++	++	388	++	40	23
50500	Dayton	Chicago	525	88	73	104	68	56	46	43	25	21	++	21	++	++	375	++	79	44
50600	Detroit	Chicago	498	96	134	92	68	33	22	22	14	17	++	13	++	5	461	++	10	9
50800	Gerald R. Ford	Chicago	438	75	90	87	63	39	35	22	20	7	++	28	++	++	286	++	105	17
50900	H. Humphrey	Chicago	484	34	57	106	79	72	51	42	25	15	++	54	14	44	206	5	128	33
51000	Joliet	Chicago	506	90	82	112	79	52	34	30	15	12	++	51	++	++	396	++	38	20
51100	Flint/Genesee	Chicago	514	140	101	77	65	50	34	26	16	5	++	15	++	++	395	++	86	18
51200	Paul Simon Chicago	Chicago	499	52	56	96	85	61	56	38	32	23	++	115	++	++	333	++	37	11
51300	Milwaukee	Chicago	496	127	113	88	62	32	36	20	12	++	++	62	6	10	350	++	48	20
51400	Ottumwa	Chicago	419	31	43	118	65	44	44	33	24	14	++	37	++	5	115	5	239	17
60100	Albuquerque	Dallas	647	84	100	122	106	84	54	48	28	17	++	199	299	++	24	++	109	15
60200	Cass	Dallas	206	28	35	46	38	24	20	10	++	++	++	14	++	++	69	++	116	++
60300	David Carrasco	Dallas	795	202	149	165	105	64	45	30	21	14	++	727	++	++	32	++	31	++
60400	Gary	Dallas	2991	295	328	632	576	394	306	211	137	111	++	1116	11	15	1224	7	564	54
60500	Guthrie	Dallas	1052	136	166	207	194	145	59	60	52	31	++	109	42	++	391	5	434	67
60600	Laredo	Dallas	385	105	70	87	55	27	18	8	6	9	++	383	++	++	++	++	++	++
60700	Little Rock	Dallas	554	71	87	108	95	69	51	31	28	14	++	23	++	++	374	++	144	9
60800	North Texas	Dallas	1126	157	163	216	217	133	87	67	59	26	++	214	++	6	661	++	198	43
60900	New Orleans	Dallas	416	12	46	75	77	53	47	36	39	31	++	26	++	++	366	++	14	9
61100	Roswell	Dallas	357	73	60	62	57	40	25	18	12	9	++	191	28	++	26	++	99	10
61200	Shreveport	Dallas	671	104	117	139	126	73	48	30	25	9	++	8	++	++	562	++	85	16
61300	Talking Leaves	Dallas	432	64	102	96	63	39	25	20	16	7	++	20	124	++	43	++	192	51
61500	Tulsa	Dallas	462	62	77	86	68	56	43	28	21	21	++	71	25	10	182	++	131	41
61600	Carville	Dallas	29	6	7	++	++	6	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	24	++	++	++
61700	Wind River	Dallas	489	65	77	116	75	53	38	26	21	17	++	87	63	++	72	++	245	17
70100	Denison	Chicago	590	86	89	127	99	61	48	25	31	24	++	122	22	26	180	++	209	29
70200		Chicago	843	144	140	179	134	74	66	46	30	28	++	56	++	5	416	++	317	45
70300	Mingo	Chicago	195	24	37	44	36	29	++	11	++	6	++	++	++	++	31	++	154	++

	Indicator #		9					10									11			
			Number			Enrol	lees Ser	ved De	mogra	phics: A	\ge				Enroll	ees Served	Demograph	ics: Race/Eth	nicity	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Enrollees Served	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25+	Hispanic/ Latino ¹	American Indian /Alaskan Native	Asian	Black/ African - American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	More Than One Race ²
National Re	sult for Centers		61410	7851	8876	12689	10640	7294	5280	3740	2796	2080	164	13279	1641	741	27837	539	14948	2425
70400	Pine Ridge	Chicago	277	51	41	51	41	32	22	21	10	8	++	53	13	36	34	++	125	12
70500	St Louis	Chicago	707	110	96	145	125	87	41	42	35	23	++	24	++	++	572	++	84	26
70600	Flint Hills	Chicago	423	34	54	110	67	62	37	19	25	14	++	53	5	++	156	5	171	30
80100	Anaconda	Dallas	322	65	66	64	42	33	25	10	7	10	++	32	24	++	8	++	231	24
80200	Boxelder	Dallas	234	33	34	41	40	31	23	15	11	5	++	9	109	7	26	++	70	12
80300	Clearfield	Dallas	1905	174	225	392	373	240	182	126	105	83	5	443	125	55	404	24	780	74
80400	Collbran	Dallas	363	91	62	77	39	36	22	12	13	10	++	77	16	6	52	++	197	14
80600	Trapper Creek	Dallas	346	68	54	71	62	30	24	16	11	10	++	31	20	++	19	++	251	22
80700	Weber Basin	Dallas	309	73	53	73	43	29	11	10	8	9	++	75	27	6	27	++	159	12
80800	Quentin Burdick	Dallas	437	87	94	49	69	44	37	26	15	14	++	24	159	6	44	++	185	19
90100	Hawaii	San Francisco	506	49	62	99	97	70	45	41	27	16	++	40	++	11	7	253	22	169
90200	Inland Empire	San Francisco	539	48	64	134	98	58	61	29	21	25	++	282	++	++	158	++	83	10
90300	Los Angeles	San Francisco	892	48	70	149	157	132	121	82	57	70	6	480	8	31	277	++	68	25
90400	Phoenix	San Francisco	670	84	77	145	117	78	66	42	31	24	6	295	72	15	165	++	100	21
90500	Sacramento	San Francisco	730	73	118	156	104	80	60	56	41	38	++	228	6	20	269	16	146	45
90600	San Diego	San Francisco	956	97	143	218	158	117	84	73	35	28	++	626	10	37	127	5	118	33
90700	San Jose	San Francisco	668	81	73	153	116	68	61	55	34	25	++	376	8	18	108	20	113	25
90800	Sierra Nevada	San Francisco	848	108	123	183	149	103	76	44	32	28	++	235	13	16	289	15	229	51
90900	Treasure Island	San Francisco	828	78	92	196	131	103	78	52	53	41	++	243	13	33	285	25	175	54
91000	Fred G. Acosta	San Francisco	492	51	50	116	95	54	47	32	28	15	++	289	34	6	51	++	104	5
91100	Long Beach	San Francisco	592	64	78	108	100	69	59	49	33	28	++	339	++	16	166	++	52	19
100100	Angell	San Francisco	299	42	47	68	59	32	22	17	8	++	++	46	14	5	26	9	184	15
100200	Cascades	San Francisco	415	60	51	89	82	67	54	7	++	++	++	54	++	++	45	5	276	27
100300	Columbia Basin	San Francisco	396	42	52	83	75	46	32	25	23	15	++	83	9	++	33	5	231	32
100400	Curlew	San Francisco	243	37	36	51	44	27	19	11	10	7	++	38	9	++	7	13	161	13
100500	Fort Simcoe	San Francisco	173	13	26	34	33	19	19	13	11	5	++	37	12	++	7	++	111	++
100600	Centennial	San Francisco	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
100700	Springdale	San Francisco	323	35	53	76	51	38	25	19	14	11	++	120	9	12	25	++	146	9
100800	Timber Lake	San Francisco	302	35	52	62	54	35	23	11	15	12	++	73	8	++	20	++	185	12

	Indicator #		9					10									11			
			Number			Enrol	llees Ser	ved De	mogra	phics: A	\ge				Enroll	ees Served	Demograph	ics: Race/Eth	nicity	
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Enrollees Served	red 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25+ Hispanic/ Latino ¹ /Alaskan Native Asian Asian Black/ African - American Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander Mo								More Than One Race ²								
National Re	sult for Centers		61410	7851	8876	12689	10640	7294	5280	3740	2796	2080	164	13279	1641	741	27837	539	14948	2425
100900	Tongue Point	San Francisco	793	80	91	186	153	89	69	48	40	34	++	166	9	17	92	36	424	49
101000	Wolf Creek	San Francisco	336	55	51	63	60	47	20	15	14	9	++	41	5	++	19	++	255	11
101100	Alaska	San Francisco	331	9	18	75	78	53	44	25	17	11	++	26	177	5	9	++	87	26

⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with revealing personally identifiable information as counts of students are below 5.

NOTES:

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

¹Students who self-identify as Hispanic/Latino alone or with any other race are included in this category.

²Students who self-identify as more than one race except Hispanic / Latino are included in this category.

³Students who self-identify as having completed 12 years of schooling but did not indicate they received a high school diploma or its equivalent are included in this category.

⁴Counts of graduates who were placed in the Armed Forces, Apprenticeship programs, a job-training match job or post-secondary education (Measures 16, 17, 20 and 25) are not mutually exclusive. That is, the sum of the number of graduates under each of these four placement categories does not equal the total number of individual graduates. A graduate who enters more than one placement during their placement service window is counted under each type of placement as long as the placement meets the definition for measures 16, 17, 20 and/or 25.

⁵Measures 18 and 19 respectively present the number of graduates who attained an HSD and an HSE while in Job Corps.

⁶"Within Region" is defined as the Job Corps Region in which the center is located.

^{7&}quot;Surrounding Regions" is defined as the other five Job Corps Regions and excludes the Region in which the center is located.

^aBarranquitas and Ramey were closed following damage sustained from Hurricane Maria in September 2017. Ramey reopened for operations in May 2018 and Arecibo reopened in the first quarter of CY 2020. In September 2019 Barranquitas was consolidated with the remaining two centers in Puerto Rico, and the facility was permanently closed.

^bGulfport was severely impacted in August 2005 by Hurricane Katrina; the center reopened part of its campus and began operating at a reduced capacity in April 2010. Planning for the renovations and rebuilding of the remainder of the center facilities to bring the capacity back to the pre-Katrina level of 280 students continues to-date.

dCascades has been serving as a demonstration project since June 2016, enrolling its first students as the Cascades Job Corps College & Career Academy in May 2017.

	Indicator #		1	2		13		14	15	16	17	18	19
			Enrollee Demograph			Served Dem ducation Lev		Enrollees Served Demographics: Income Level	Number of	Number of Graduates	Number of Graduates	Number of	Number of
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Male	Female	No HSD/HSE at Entry ³	HSD/HSE at Entry	Some Post- secondary	Low Income Individual	Graduates	Placed in Armed Forces ⁴	Entered Apprenticeships ⁴	Graduates Received HSD ⁵	Graduates Received HSE ⁵
National Re	sult for Centers		39505	21905	34970	26428	12	61270	14878	639	641	4634	2359
10100	Grafton	Boston	268	190	217	240	++	458	128	++	++	33	25
10200	Northlands	Boston	253	104	193	163	++	357	63	10	++	15	13
10300	Penobscot	Boston	280	161	204	237	++	440	112	7	++	34	24
10400	Westover	Boston	468	197	364	300	++	664	129	5	7	36	26
10500	New Haven	Boston	188	110	137	161	++	298	59	++	++	8	++
10600	Loring	Boston	310	58	169	199	++	368	81	++	11	18	12
10700	Shriver	Boston	369	125	223	271	++	491	148	++	++	18	41
10800	Exeter	Boston	198	154	192	160	++	352	99	++	++	14	42
10900	Hartford	Boston	180	175	188	166	++	352	95	++	++	21	14
11000	New Hampshire	Boston	311	208	251	267	++	517	132	7	6	18	29
20100	Arecibo	Boston	30	14	16	28	++	44	++	++	++	++	++
20300	Cassadaga	Boston	318	190	261	246	++	508	149	++	++	20	31
20400	Delaware Valley	Boston	285	77	266	96	++	361	98	5	++	48	19
20500	Edison	Boston	528	263	383	408	++	790	176	++	9	12	65
20700	Glenmont	Boston	356	205	364	197	++	561	128	6	++	39	30
20800	Iroquois	Boston	225	132	244	113	++	357	61	++	5	16	17
20900	Oneonta	Boston	415	146	306	255	++	558	140	++	16	40	17
21000	Ramey	Boston	482	250	512	220	++	731	170	++	++	92	++
21100	South Bronx	Boston	430	370	386	412	++	799	175	++	5	26	29
30100	Blue Ridge	Philadelphia	++	245	87	160	++	246	52	++	++	13	++
30200	Charleston	Philadelphia	292	209	216	285	++	500	82	++	++	37	++
30400	Flatwoods	Philadelphia	143	11	82	72	++	154	25	++	++	11	++
30500	Harpers Ferry	Philadelphia	159	25	84	100	++	183	41	++	++	18	++
30600	Keystone	Philadelphia	444	313	499	258	++	757	170	9	9	73	16
30700	Old Dominion	Philadelphia	290	144	195	239	++	432	121	10	++	44	11
30800	Philadelphia	Philadelphia	201	394	227	368	++	594	181	++	++	27	13
30900	Pittsburgh	Philadelphia	450	533	257	726	++	982	298	5	10	37	34
31000	Potomac	Philadelphia	462	235	319	378	++	695	161	6	5	34	11
31100	Red Rock	Philadelphia	385	58	302	141	++	441	94	++	++	35	10

	Indicator #		1	2		13		14	15	16	17	18	19
			Enrollee Demograph			Served Demo		Enrollees Served Demographics: Income Level	Number of	Number of Graduates	Number of Graduates	Number of	Number of
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Male	Female	No HSD/HSE at Entry ³	HSD/HSE at Entry	Some Post- secondary	Low Income Individual	Graduates	Placed in Armed Forces ⁴	Entered Apprenticeships ⁴	Graduates Received HSD ⁵	Graduates Received HSE ⁵
National Re	sult for Centers		39505	21905	34970	26428	12	61270	14878	639	641	4634	2359
31200	Woodland	Philadelphia	315	81	265	131	++	395	98	++	7	38	23
31300	Woodstock	Philadelphia	465	256	453	268	++	720	83	8	++	27	13
31500	Carl D.Perkins	Philadelphia	240	108	156	192	++	348	88	7	++	31	++
31600	Earle C. Clements	Philadelphia	874	247	575	546	++	1121	310	16	6	145	++
31700	Frenchburg	Philadelphia	117	28	98	47	++	145	33	++	6	22	++
31800	Great Onyx	Philadelphia	203	33	103	133	++	235	73	10	++	26	++
31900	Pine Knot	Philadelphia	190	41	124	107	++	230	34	++	++	16	++
32000	Whitney M. Young	Philadelphia	250	149	244	155	++	399	96	5	++	62	++
32100	Muhlenberg	Philadelphia	359	200	293	266	++	556	115	5	++	51	++
32200	Wilmington	Philadelphia	87	163	158	92	++	249	57	++	++	15	++
40200	Bamberg	Altanta	290	128	272	146	++	417	115	++	6	24	30
40300	Finch-Henry	Altanta	275	142	289	128	++	412	74	++	++	41	++
40400	Brunswick	Altanta	409	244	362	291	++	651	134	6	5	25	37
41000	Gulfport	Altanta	113	131	144	100	++	244	76	++	++	41	++
41100	Jacksonville	Altanta	252	231	307	176	++	483	112	16	++	50	7
41200	Jacobs Creek	Altanta	168	12	99	81	++	179	44	++	5	15	10
41300	Kittrell	Altanta	292	208	256	244	++	500	95	5	++	43	5
41500	Lyndon Johnson	Altanta	182	57	135	104	++	238	64	++	++	10	13
41600	Miami	Altanta	269	179	272	176	++	448	126	8	20	71	6
41700	Mississippi	Altanta	274	124	309	89	++	396	65	++	++	37	++
41800	Oconaluftee	Altanta	122	69	91	100	++	190	47	++	++	7	6
42000	Schenck	Altanta	270	52	188	134	++	322	97	5	7	36	8
42100	Turner	Altanta	867	393	927	333	++	1257	293	14	16	132	26
42400	Gadsden	Altanta	261	150	257	154	++	411	114	5	++	61	6
42500	BL Hooks/Memphis	Altanta	255	176	239	192	++	431	124	7	++	55	++
42600	Montgomery	Altanta	295	228	308	215	++	520	122	12	++	54	17
42800	Pinellas County	Altanta	276	282	355	202	++	557	168	6	6	66	31
50100	Atterbury	Chicago	601	356	681	276	++	953	234	12	21	88	75
50200	Blackwell	Chicago	137	42	116	63	++	179	44	++	6	10	16

	Indicator #		1	2		13		14	15	16	17	18	19
			Enrollee Demograph			Served Demo	• .	Enrollees Served Demographics: Income Level	Number of	Number of Graduates	Number of Graduates	Number of	Number of
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Male	Female	No HSD/HSE at Entry ³	HSD/HSE at Entry	Some Post- secondary	Low Income Individual	Graduates	Placed in Armed Forces ⁴	Entered Apprenticeships ⁴	Graduates Received HSD ⁵	Graduates Received HSE ⁵
National Re	sult for Centers		39505	21905	34970	26428	12	61270	14878	639	641	4634	2359
50300	Cincinnati	Chicago	300	124	297	127	++	421	103	++	++	28	31
50400	n	Chicago	226	249	281	194	++	473	103	8	++	55	++
50500	Dayton	Chicago	346	179	359	166	++	522	127	11	++	57	12
50600	Detroit	Chicago	304	194	394	104	++	497	104	++	++	43	++
50800	Gerald R. Ford	Chicago	249	189	353	85	++	437	120	6	++	60	13
50900	H. Humphrey	Chicago	300	184	226	258	++	483	132	++	8	26	20
51000	Joliet	Chicago	296	210	330	176	++	504	105	7	++	59	10
51100	Flint/Genesee	Chicago	314	200	406	108	++	512	108	9	++	40	28
51200	Paul Simon Chicago	Chicago	272	227	277	222	++	496	100	5	6	13	43
51300	Milwaukee	Chicago	292	204	378	118	++	492	96	++	++	62	++
51400	Ottumwa	Chicago	270	149	154	265	++	418	138	++	++	45	++
60100	Albuquerque	Dallas	422	225	387	259	++	647	151	++	5	50	24
60200	Cass	Dallas	181	25	120	86	++	206	43	++	5	15	13
60300	David Carrasco	Dallas	482	313	613	182	++	793	234	11	++	28	120
60400	Gary	Dallas	1999	992	1579	1412	++	2982	644	37	15	163	123
60500	Guthrie	Dallas	726	326	652	400	++	1052	228	19	9	89	19
60600	Laredo	Dallas	225	160	305	80	++	385	119	++	++	++	93
60700	Little Rock	Dallas	345	209	303	251	++	554	113	9	++	25	21
60800	North Texas	Dallas	707	419	656	470	++	1124	245	9	6	70	29
60900	New Orleans	Dallas	199	217	195	221	++	416	107	++	27	41	++
61100	Roswell	Dallas	243	114	253	104	++	357	48	++	++	20	13
61200	Shreveport	Dallas	411	260	476	195	++	671	139	++	++	40	6
61300	Talking Leaves	Dallas	276	156	313	119	++	432	98	++	7	56	23
61500	Tulsa	Dallas	252	210	337	125	++	462	114	24	5	76	++
61600	Carville	Dallas	19	10	21	8	++	29	12	++	++	6	++
61700	Wind River	Dallas	355	134	271	218	++	488	109	7	8	32	9
70100	Denison	Chicago	328	262	354	236	++	589	147	++	16	49	16
70200	Excelsior Springs	Chicago	495	348	517	326	++	843	284	6	8	95	61
70300	Mingo	Chicago	175	20	114	81	++	195	44	++	10	10	18

	Indicator #		1	2		13		14	15	16	17	18	19
			Enrollee Demograph			Served Demo	• .	Enrollees Served Demographics: Income Level	Number of	Number of Graduates	Number of Graduates	Number of	Number of
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Male	Female	No HSD/HSE at Entry ³	HSD/HSE at Entry	Some Post- secondary	Low Income Individual	Graduates	Placed in Armed Forces ⁴	Entered Apprenticeships ⁴	Graduates Received HSD ⁵	Graduates Received HSE ⁵
National Re	sult for Centers		39505	21905	34970	26428	12	61270	14878	639	641	4634	2359
70400	Pine Ridge	Chicago	236	41	191	86	++	277	63	++	12	43	5
70500	St Louis	Chicago	451	256	438	269	++	703	205	++	20	60	21
70600	Flint Hills	Chicago	250	173	259	164	++	423	138	10	++	48	10
80100	Anaconda	Dallas	258	64	203	119	++	322	75	9	6	37	18
80200	Boxelder	Dallas	160	74	172	62	++	234	59	++	++	27	16
80300	Clearfield	Dallas	1445	460	937	968	++	1899	502	8	18	148	56
80400	Collbran	Dallas	269	94	258	105	++	362	64	++	++	33	6
80600	Trapper Creek	Dallas	264	82	210	136	++	345	88	++	8	18	40
80700	Weber Basin	Dallas	218	91	203	106	++	309	80	++	7	57	++
80800	Quentin Burdick	Dallas	267	170	307	130	++	432	102	++	++	54	18
90100	Hawaii	San Francisco	294	212	200	306	++	506	115	++	7	30	++
90200	Inland Empire	San Francisco	320	219	226	312	++	538	148	5	6	38	18
90300	Los Angeles	San Francisco	503	389	316	576	++	890	232	12	++	58	7
90400	Phoenix	San Francisco	359	311	418	252	++	665	147	++	++	12	32
90500	Sacramento	San Francisco	451	279	394	336	++	725	170	5	14	48	13
90600	San Diego	San Francisco	625	331	532	424	++	951	271	15	27	40	84
90700	San Jose	San Francisco	434	234	322	346	++	666	183	++	++	35	32
90800	Sierra Nevada	San Francisco	559	289	457	391	++	848	263	13	12	51	82
90900	Treasure Island	San Francisco	549	279	378	450	++	825	204	6	++	54	29
91000	Fred G. Acosta	San Francisco	294	198	265	227	++	492	153	++	15	34	22
91100	Long Beach	San Francisco	309	283	282	310	++	591	165	++	5	38	20
100100	Angell	San Francisco	261	38	173	126	++	299	49	++	10	23	++
100200	Cascades	San Francisco	257	158	210	205	++	414	16	++	++	++	15
100300	Columbia Basin	San Francisco	254	142	215	181	++	396	109	++	9	39	12
100400	Curlew	San Francisco	226	17	160	82	++	243	57	7	10	7	32
100500	Fort Simcoe	San Francisco	158	15	105	68	++	173	34	5	++	18	++
100600	Centennial	San Francisco	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
100700	Springdale	San Francisco	164	159	200	123	++	323	81	++	++	31	10
100800	Timber Lake	San Francisco	247	55	179	123	++	302	72	++	++	19	25

	Indicator #		1	2		13		14	15	16	17	18	19
			Enrollee Demograph			Served Demo		Enrollees Served Demographics: Income Level	Number of	Number of Graduates	Number of Graduates	Number of	Number of
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Male	Female	No HSD/HSE at Entry ³	HSD/HSE at Entry	Some Post- secondary	Low Income Individual	Graduates	Placed in Armed Forces ⁴	Entered	Graduates Received HSD ⁵	Graduates Received HSE ⁵
National Re	sult for Centers		39505	21905	34970	26428	12	61270	14878	639	641	4634	2359
100900	Tongue Point	San Francisco	514	279	336	457	++	793	196	7	16	30	44
101000	Wolf Creek	San Francisco	266	70	205	131	++	336	117	++	7	++	59
101100	Alaska	San Francisco	204	127	78	253	++	331	102	++	7	12	6

⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with revealing personally identifiable information as counts of students are below 5.

NOTES:

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

¹Students who self-identify as Hispanic/Latino alone or with any other race are included in this category.

²Students who self-identify as more than one race except Hispanic / Latino are included in this category.

³Students who self-identify as having completed 12 years of schooling but did not indicate they received a high school diploma or its equivalent are included in this category.

⁴Counts of graduates who were placed in the Armed Forces, Apprenticeship programs, a job-training match job or post-secondary education (Measures 16, 17, 20 and 25) are not mutually exclusive. That is, the sum of the number of graduates under each of these four placement categories does not equal the total number of individual graduates. A graduate who enters more than one placement during their placement service window is counted under each type of placement as long as the placement meets the definition for measures 16, 17, 20 and/or 25.

⁵Measures 18 and 19 respectively present the number of graduates who attained an HSD and an HSE while in Job Corps.

⁶"Within Region" is defined as the Job Corps Region in which the center is located.

^{7&}quot;Surrounding Regions" is defined as the other five Job Corps Regions and excludes the Region in which the center is located.

^aBarranquitas and Ramey were closed following damage sustained from Hurricane Maria in September 2017. Ramey reopened for operations in May 2018 and Arecibo reopened in the first quarter of CY 2020. In September 2019 Barranquitas was consolidated with the remaining two centers in Puerto Rico, and the facility was permanently closed.

^bGulfport was severely impacted in August 2005 by Hurricane Katrina; the center reopened part of its campus and began operating at a reduced capacity in April 2010. Planning for the renovations and rebuilding of the remainder of the center facilities to bring the capacity back to the pre-Katrina level of 280 students continues to-date.

dCascades has been serving as a demonstration project since June 2016, enrolling its first students as the Cascades Job Corps College & Career Academy in May 2017.

	Indicator #		20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM ⁴	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations		Percent of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ⁴	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Re	sult for Centers		8165	6950	18064	54.8%	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
10100	Grafton	Boston	62	54	121	48.6%	6.0%	7	\$13.61	++++
10200	Northlands	Boston	54	14	130	67.4%	++++	++	++++	++++
10300	Penobscot	Boston	84	46	112	50.0%	4.6%	6	\$13.09	++++
10400	Westover	Boston	65	46	208	61.7%	9.0%	10	\$13.86	++++
10500	New Haven	Boston	30	27	94	61.4%	1.8%	++	\$13.62	++++
10600	Loring	Boston	69	24	93	53.4%	11.8%	11	\$13.61	++++
10700	Shriver	Boston	68	57	114	43.5%	2.4%	++	\$14.31	++++
10800	Exeter	Boston	39	45	84	45.9%	9.5%	8	\$13.03	++++
10900	Hartford	Boston	59	41	93	49.5%	12.0%	12	\$13.70	++++
11000	New Hampshire	Boston	68	71	143	52.0%	6.5%	9	\$13.43	++++
20100	Arecibo	Boston	++	++	++	++++	++++	++	++++	++++
20300	Cassadaga	Boston	81	39	153	50.7%	2.5%	++	\$14.14	++++
20400	Delaware Valley	Boston	39	37	122	55.5%	1.3%	++	\$13.62	++++
20500	Edison	Boston	71	89	224	56.0%	6.9%	11	\$13.63	++++
20700	Glenmont	Boston	86	33	180	58.4%	6.7%	8	\$14.09	++++
20800	Iroquois	Boston	47	28	129	67.9%	8.0%	6	\$14.12	++++
20900	Oneonta	Boston	79	64	175	55.6%	4.9%	7	\$14.37	++++
21000	Ramey	Boston	49	135	170	50.0%	34.8%	64	\$10.30	++++
21100	South Bronx	Boston	107	65	249	58.7%	7.0%	12	\$14.48	++++
30100	Blue Ridge	Philadelphia	29	23	99	65.6%	5.8%	++	\$11.89	++++
30200	Charleston	Philadelphia	32	41	199	70.8%	6.8%	5	\$11.92	++++
30400	Flatwoods	Philadelphia	14	13	74	74.7%	++++	++	++++	++++
30500	Harpers Ferry	Philadelphia	11	31	42	50.6%	++++	++	++++	++++
30600	Keystone	Philadelphia	101	89	256	60.1%	3.2%	6	\$12.17	++++
30700	Old Dominion	Philadelphia	73	60	138	53.3%	1.5%	++	\$11.80	++++
30800	Philadelphia	Philadelphia	76	62	169	48.3%	5.1%	7	\$12.29	++++
30900	Pittsburgh	Philadelphia	143	167	250	45.6%	28.1%	87	\$12.23	\$14.28
31000	Potomac	Philadelphia	62	88	230	58.8%	1.3%	++	\$13.30	++++
31100	Red Rock	Philadelphia	35	50	148	61.2%	++++	++	++++	++++

	Indicator #		20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM ⁴	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ⁴	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Re	sult for Centers		8165	6950	18064	54.8%	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
31200	Woodland	Philadelphia	61	50	135	57.9%	6.3%	7	\$12.72	++++
31300	Woodstock	Philadelphia .	45	84	259	75.7%	6.2%	8	\$13.57	++++
31500	Carl D.Perkins	Philadelphia	54	49	104	54.2%	11.7%	12	\$11.78	++++
31600	Earle C. Clements	Philadelphia	148	151	292	48.5%	2.7%	8	\$12.12	\$14.47
31700	Frenchburg	Philadelphia	26	11	37	52.9%	++++	++	++++	++++
31800	Great Onyx	Philadelphia	38	57	55	43.0%	13.7%	13	\$12.82	++++
31900	Pine Knot	Philadelphia	19	20	100	74.6%	++++	++	++++	++++
32000	Whitney M. Young	Philadelphia	50	36	148	60.7%	3.5%	++	\$13.08	++++
32100	Muhlenberg	Philadelphia	75	49	188	62.0%	4.8%	6	\$12.66	++++
32200	Wilmington	Philadelphia	35	18	65	53.3%	7.5%	++	\$11.72	++++
40200	Bamberg	Altanta	44	79	128	52.7%	13.8%	17	\$11.05	++++
40300	Finch-Henry	Altanta	62	20	160	68.4%	8.5%	7	\$9.99	++++
40400	Brunswick	Altanta	58	77	216	61.7%	3.7%	5	\$11.26	++++
41000	Gulfport	Altanta	30	58	72	48.6%	9.1%	8	\$9.97	++++
41100	Jacksonville	Altanta	60	69	113	50.2%	7.0%	9	\$11.72	++++
41200	Jacobs Creek	Altanta	34	10	65	59.6%	++++	++	++++	++++
41300	Kittrell	Altanta	48	41	154	61.8%	4.5%	++	\$10.87	++++
41500	Lyndon Johnson	Altanta	38	25	69	51.9%	7.9%	5	\$11.38	++++
41600	Miami	Altanta	71	45	66	34.4%	14.7%	17	\$12.73	++++
41700	Mississippi	Altanta	38	48	130	66.7%	23.3%	20	\$10.87	++++
41800	Oconaluftee	Altanta	29	31	62	56.9%	5.0%	++	\$11.71	++++
42000	Schenck	Altanta	81	21	88	47.6%	1.0%	++	\$12.31	++++
42100	Turner	Altanta	152	158	318	52.0%	4.8%	15	\$11.18	\$14.00
42400	Gadsden	Altanta	45	62	147	56.3%	14.0%	15	\$10.41	++++
42500	BL Hooks/Memphis	Altanta	58	76	135	52.1%	6.0%	8	\$11.02	++++
42600	Montgomery	Altanta	67	45	172	58.5%	8.9%	10	\$10.64	++++
42800	Pinellas County	Altanta	102	79	107	38.9%	7.2%	13	\$11.54	++++
50100	Atterbury	Chicago	110	151	326	58.2%	3.4%	9	\$12.51	++++
50200	Blackwell	Chicago	34	11	58	56.9%	++++	++	++++	++++

	Indicator #		20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM ⁴	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations		Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ⁴	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Re	sult for Centers		8165	6950	18064	54.8%	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
50300	Cincinnati	Chicago	65	67	119	53.6%	9.1%	12	\$12.03	++++
50400	Cleveland	Chicago	58	57	173	62.7%	6.1%	7	\$12.16	++++
50500	Dayton	Chicago	60	74	173	57.7%	9.0%	12	\$12.41	++++
50600	Detroit	Chicago	39	73	135	56.5%	15.2%	17	\$11.54	++++
50800	Gerald R. Ford	Chicago	37	80	162	57.4%	3.4%	++	\$11.76	++++
50900	H. Humphrey	Chicago	78	68	134	50.4%	3.4%	5	\$13.36	++++
51000	Joliet	Chicago	70	52	177	62.8%	0.8%	++	\$12.71	++++
51100	Flint/Genesee	Chicago	46	44	172	61.4%	8.9%	8	\$11.72	++++
51200	Paul Simon Chicago	Chicago	61	50	232	69.9%	9.0%	10	\$13.21	++++
51300	Milwaukee	Chicago	68	32	171	64.0%	5.0%	5	\$12.19	++++
51400	Ottumwa	Chicago	71	52	65	32.0%	10.6%	13	\$13.67	++++
60100	Albuquerque	Dallas	93	49	189	55.6%	5.6%	8	\$12.22	++++
60200	Cass	Dallas	28	16	75	63.6%	++++	++	++++	++++
60300	David Carrasco	Dallas	112	139	176	42.9%	13.1%	33	\$10.22	++++
60400	Gary	Dallas	388	287	1040	61.8%	5.9%	40	\$12.69	\$13.86
60500	Guthrie	Dallas	132	114	341	59.9%	3.3%	8	\$11.51	\$12.56
60600	Laredo	Dallas	75	54	41	25.6%	22.5%	29	\$9.79	++++
60700	Little Rock	Dallas	55	45	202	64.1%	5.0%	5	\$11.63	++++
60800	North Texas	Dallas	137	110	361	59.6%	5.3%	13	\$11.16	\$12.71
60900	New Orleans	Dallas	50	66	150	58.4%	4.3%	5	\$11.21	++++
61100	Roswell	Dallas	32	23	125	72.3%	12.7%	7	\$11.69	++++
61200	Shreveport	Dallas	59	66	276	66.5%	9.6%	12	\$11.02	++++
61300	Talking Leaves	Dallas	60	43	152	60.8%	4.9%	5	\$10.31	++++
61500	Tulsa	Dallas	84	35	152	57.1%	7.6%	9	\$13.05	++++
61600	Carville	Dallas	14	29	17	++++	++++	5	++++	++++
61700	Wind River	Dallas	40	56	148	57.6%	4.2%	++	\$13.88	++++
70100	Denison	Chicago	99	71	187	56.0%	3.5%	6	\$12.93	++++
70200	Excelsior Springs	Chicago	136	132	224	44.1%	3.7%	10	\$12.20	\$17.11
70300	Mingo	Chicago	28	24	60	57.7%	1.9%	++	\$15.12	++++

	Indicator #		20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM ⁴	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations		Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ⁴	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Re	sult for Centers		8165	6950	18064	54.8%	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
70400	Pine Ridge	Chicago	32	23	84	57.1%	10.9%	6	\$13.86	++++
70500	St Louis	Chicago	118	62	227	52.5%	3.3%	6	\$13.56	++++
70600	Flint Hills	Chicago	78	49	114	45.2%	3.9%	5	\$12.45	++++
80100	Anaconda	Dallas	49	36	89	54.3%	++++	++	++++	++++
80200	Boxelder	Dallas	27	17	55	48.2%	++++	++	++++	++++
80300	Clearfield	Dallas	258	233	492	49.5%	2.9%	14	\$12.92	\$14.62
80400	Collbran	Dallas	37	35	132	67.3%	8.3%	6	\$13.12	++++
80600	Trapper Creek	Dallas	63	18	111	55.8%	++++	++	++++	++++
80700	Weber Basin	Dallas	52	39	136	63.0%	++++	++	++++	++++
80800	Quentin Burdick	Dallas	48	52	137	57.3%	9.0%	9	\$12.53	++++
90100	Hawaii	San Francisco	55	52	75	39.5%	1.9%	++	\$12.96	++++
90200	Inland Empire	San Francisco	41	104	129	46.6%	25.5%	37	\$14.34	++++
90300	Los Angeles	San Francisco	140	118	214	48.0%	9.7%	25	\$14.78	++++
90400	Phoenix	San Francisco	105	62	157	51.6%	3.0%	5	\$12.85	++++
90500	Sacramento	San Francisco	82	55	230	57.5%	5.1%	7	\$15.20	++++
90600	San Diego	San Francisco	177	92	159	37.0%	7.1%	19	\$14.10	\$14.92
90700	San Jose	San Francisco	87	55	146	44.4%	7.0%	10	\$15.31	++++
90800	Sierra Nevada	San Francisco	164	96	194	42.5%	2.3%	6	\$13.01	\$14.60
90900	Treasure Island	San Francisco	95	111	184	47.4%	8.7%	18	\$15.28	++++
91000	Fred G. Acosta	San Francisco	90	46	85	35.7%	4.4%	6	\$12.62	++++
91100	Long Beach	San Francisco	73	101	149	47.5%	11.5%	20	\$14.48	++++
100100	Angell	San Francisco	33	17	107	68.6%	6.0%	++	\$15.21	++++
100200	Cascades	San Francisco	++	++	141	89.8%	++++	++	++++	++++
100300	Columbia Basin	San Francisco	70	50	102	48.3%	10.8%	13	\$13.71	++++
100400	Curlew	San Francisco	48	19	70	55.1%	++++	++	++++	++++
100500	Fort Simcoe	San Francisco	24	15	46	57.5%	++++	++	++++	++++
100600	Centennial	San Francisco	21	29	++	++++	2.0%	++	\$12.58	++++
100700	Springdale	San Francisco	57	37	100	55.2%	6.4%	6	\$13.81	++++
100800	Timber Lake	San Francisco	52	27	89	55.3%	1.3%	++	\$14.60	++++

	Indicator #		20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Center ID	Center Name	Region		Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Enrolloge/Loyel 1		Percent of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ⁴	Average Graduate	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Re	esult for Centers		8165	6950	18064	54.8%	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
100900	Tongue Point	San Francisco	135	58	153	43.8%	3.6%	7	\$15.42	\$17.03
101000	Wolf Creek	San Francisco	64	37	93	44.3%	5.9%	6	\$14.03	++++
101100	Alaska	San Francisco	70	22	64	38.6%	1.1%	++	\$14.46	++++

⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with revealing personally identifiable information as counts of students are below 5.

NOTES:

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

¹Students who self-identify as Hispanic/Latino alone or with any other race are included in this category.

²Students who self-identify as more than one race except Hispanic / Latino are included in this category.

³Students who self-identify as having completed 12 years of schooling but did not indicate they received a high school diploma or its equivalent are included in this category.

⁴Counts of graduates who were placed in the Armed Forces, Apprenticeship programs, a job-training match job or post-secondary education (Measures 16, 17, 20 and 25) are not mutually exclusive. That is, the sum of the number of graduates under each of these four placement categories does not equal the total number of individual graduates. A graduate who enters more than one placement during their placement service window is counted under each type of placement as long as the placement meets the definition for measures 16, 17, 20 and/or 25.

⁵Measures 18 and 19 respectively present the number of graduates who attained an HSD and an HSE while in Job Corps.

⁶"Within Region" is defined as the Job Corps Region in which the center is located.

^{7&}quot;Surrounding Regions" is defined as the other five Job Corps Regions and excludes the Region in which the center is located.

^aBarranquitas and Ramey were closed following damage sustained from Hurricane Maria in September 2017. Ramey reopened for operations in May 2018 and Arecibo reopened in the first quarter of CY 2020. In September 2019 Barranquitas was consolidated with the remaining two centers in Puerto Rico, and the facility was permanently closed.

^bGulfport was severely impacted in August 2005 by Hurricane Katrina; the center reopened part of its campus and began operating at a reduced capacity in April 2010. Planning for the renovations and rebuilding of the remainder of the center facilities to bring the capacity back to the pre-Katrina level of 280 students continues to-date.

dCascades has been serving as a demonstration project since June 2016, enrolling its first students as the Cascades Job Corps College & Career Academy in May 2017.

	Indicator #		28	29	30	31	32
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ⁶	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ⁷	Cost Per Enrollee ⁸	Cost Per Graduate
National Re	sult for Centers		77.9%	93.9%	6.1%	\$44,001	\$96,207
10100	Grafton	Boston	79.6%	94.5%	5.5%	\$58,692	\$107,754
10200	Northlands	Boston	21.4%	98.0%	2.0%	\$62,759	\$195,250
10300	Penobscot	Boston	71.2%	94.6%	5.4%	\$61,726	\$112,981
10400	Westover	Boston	59.9%	98.1%	1.9%	\$58,080	\$163,885
10500	New Haven	Boston	83.7%	97.2%	2.8%	\$59,342	\$179,032
10600	Loring	Boston	30.5%	94.8%	5.2%	\$77,606	\$147,547
10700	Shriver	Boston	53.0%	93.5%	6.5%	\$56,654	\$82,302
10800	Exeter	Boston	65.9%	96.3%	3.7%	\$55,603	\$92,109
10900	Hartford	Boston	85.6%	97.3%	2.7%	\$52,967	\$104,262
11000	New Hampshire	Boston	71.4%	99.3%	0.7%	\$45,398	\$96,299
20100	Arecibo	Boston	++++	++++	++++	\$70,633	
20300	Cassadaga	Boston	87.7%	98.6%	1.4%	\$44,619	\$85,045
20400	Delaware Valley	Boston	62.6%	96.3%	3.7%	\$53,570	\$103,860
20500	Edison	Boston	72.1%	95.5%	4.5%	\$51,069	\$129,124
20700	Glenmont	Boston	92.3%	97.8%	2.2%	\$52,248	\$111,844
20800	Iroquois	Boston	86.5%	98.7%	1.3%	\$44,160	\$161,438
20900	Oneonta	Boston	75.0%	95.6%	4.4%	\$53,205	\$103,369
21000	Ramey	Boston	97.7%	98.9%	1.1%	\$37,514	\$77,896
21100	South Bronx	Boston	85.8%	97.2%	2.8%	\$42,420	\$112,715
30100	Blue Ridge	Philadelphia	79.3%	91.4%	8.6%	\$56,652	\$126,378
30200	Charleston	Philadelphia	15.5%	84.1%	15.9%	\$47,131	\$170,130
30400	Flatwoods	Philadelphia	86.2%	100.0%	0.0%	\$11,424	\$39,755
30500	Harpers Ferry	Philadelphia	13.8%	92.6%	7.4%	\$11,424	\$26,191
30600	Keystone	Philadelphia	85.2%	97.7%	2.3%	\$49,162	\$126,664
30700	Old Dominion	Philadelphia	81.9%	94.8%	5.2%	\$54,947	\$95,362
30800	Philadelphia	Philadelphia	98.0%	98.0%	2.0%	\$33,347	\$65,774
30900	Pittsburgh	Philadelphia	90.6%	98.6%	1.4%	\$46,738	\$89,711
31000	Potomac	Philadelphia	39.6%	98.0%	2.0%	\$55,576	\$122,889
31100	Red Rock	Philadelphia	85.6%	96.4%	3.6%	\$45,627	\$148,045

	Indicator #		28	29	30	31	32
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ⁶	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ⁷	Cost Per Enrollee ⁸	Cost Per Graduate
National Re	sult for Centers		77.9%	93.9%	6.1%	\$44,001	\$96,207
31200	Woodland	Philadelphia	82.1%	98.3%	1.7%	\$46,731	\$109,198
31300	Woodstock	Philadelphia	72.3%	98.6%	1.4%	\$47,300	\$249,037
31500	Carl D.Perkins	Philadelphia	71.7%	77.6%	22.4%	\$56,496	\$97,584
31600	Earle C. Clements	Philadelphia	10.5%	19.9%	80.1%	\$57,940	\$101,676
31700	Frenchburg	Philadelphia	67.8%	68.9%	31.1%	\$11,498	\$31,359
31800	Great Onyx	Philadelphia	12.3%	36.8%	63.2%	\$11,419	\$17,832
31900	Pine Knot	Philadelphia	29.6%	61.8%	38.2%	\$11,427	\$51,087
32000	Whitney M. Young	Philadelphia	67.9%	68.4%	31.6%	\$59,114	\$128,695
32100	Muhlenberg	Philadelphia	36.9%	53.6%	46.4%	\$46,368	\$118,943
32200	Wilmington	Philadelphia	98.6%	100.0%	0.0%	\$41,654	\$103,038
40200	Bamberg	Altanta	79.1%	98.4%	1.6%	\$40,790	\$90,092
40300	Finch-Henry	Altanta	91.4%	97.9%	2.1%	\$41,505	\$162,654
40400	Brunswick	Altanta	77.9%	94.5%	5.5%	\$46,542	\$114,619
41000	Gulfport	Altanta	96.0%	98.0%	2.0%	\$62,492	\$122,516
41100	Jacksonville	Altanta	77.8%	95.7%	4.3%	\$60,382	\$123,999
41200	Jacobs Creek	Altanta	70.5%	94.3%	5.7%	\$11,582	\$23,164
41300	Kittrell	Altanta	81.5%	95.7%	4.3%	\$50,351	\$146,284
41500	Lyndon Johnson	Altanta	65.4%	97.7%	2.3%	\$11,644	\$23,652
41600	Miami	Altanta	91.4%	95.4%	4.6%	\$54,291	\$84,884
41700	Mississippi	Altanta	90.2%	96.7%	3.3%	\$46,088	\$194,988
41800	Oconaluftee	Altanta	73.8%	88.5%	11.5%	\$11,655	\$32,237
42000	Schenck	Altanta	56.2%	96.9%	3.1%	\$11,602	\$19,376
42100	Turner	Altanta	86.8%	98.8%	1.2%	\$42,622	\$105,028
42400	Gadsden	Altanta	90.2%	97.3%	2.7%	\$53,442	\$105,008
42500	BL Hooks/Memphis	Altanta	64.0%	96.2%	3.8%	\$54,048	\$102,865
42600	Montgomery	Altanta	89.8%	99.4%	0.6%	\$44,572	\$121,296
42800	Pinellas County	Altanta	97.5%	99.3%	0.7%	\$47,447	\$79,079
50100	Atterbury	Chicago	89.5%	98.4%	1.6%	\$43,952	\$93,163
50200	Blackwell	Chicago	85.1%	98.0%	2.0%	\$11,179	\$25,661

	Indicator #		28	29	30	31	32
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ⁶	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ⁷	Cost Per Enrollee ⁸	Cost Per Graduate
National Re	sult for Centers		77.9%	93.9%	6.1%	\$44,001	\$96,207
50300	Cincinnati	Chicago	87.6%	99.2%	0.8%	\$56,531	\$142,151
50400	Cleveland	Chicago	89.2%	97.5%	2.5%	\$60,090	\$161,603
50500	Dayton	Chicago	88.5%	97.3%	2.7%	\$33,078	\$77,095
50600	Detroit	Chicago	97.1%	97.8%	2.2%	\$41,408	\$108,299
50800	Gerald R. Ford	Chicago	98.2%	99.3%	0.7%	\$37,798	\$87,879
50900	H. Humphrey	Chicago	88.4%	96.4%	3.6%	\$48,287	\$81,942
51000	Joliet	Chicago	97.8%	99.7%	0.3%	\$42,582	\$126,529
51100	Flint/Genesee	Chicago	97.3%	100.0%	0.0%	\$47,754	\$129,998
51200	Paul Simon Chicago		97.6%	100.0%	0.0%	\$51,300	\$151,335
51300	Milwaukee	Chicago	93.0%	98.4%	1.6%	\$38,376	\$125,120
51400	Ottumwa	Chicago	83.8%	98.3%	1.7%	\$54,324	\$70,464
60100	Albuquerque	Dallas	94.6%	95.5%	4.5%	\$38,899	\$85,268
60200	Cass	Dallas	83.1%	95.8%	4.2%	\$11,376	\$31,218
60300	David Carrasco	Dallas	86.5%	97.3%	2.7%	\$30,727	\$62,373
60400	Gary	Dallas	96.7%	98.5%	1.5%	\$35,178	\$90,621
60500	Guthrie	Dallas	68.1%	96.6%	3.4%	\$37,201	\$91,045
60600	Laredo	Dallas	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	\$55,696	\$77,226
60700	Little Rock	Dallas	95.8%	97.7%	2.3%	\$37,061	\$101,015
60800	North Texas	Dallas	91.1%	98.2%	1.8%	\$42,627	\$104,044
60900	New Orleans	Dallas	98.2%	98.7%	1.3%	\$32,818	\$69,929
61100	Roswell	Dallas	71.5%	98.8%	1.2%	\$37,579	\$189,458
61200	Shreveport	Dallas	95.7%	99.3%	0.7%	\$31,397	\$95,094
61300	Talking Leaves	Dallas	97.1%	99.2%	0.8%	\$40,239	\$99,777
61500	Tulsa	Dallas	89.6%	96.8%	3.2%	\$42,996	\$93,911
61600	Carville	Dallas	++++	++++	++++		\$244,207
61700	Wind River	Dallas	29.3%	92.1%	7.9%	\$46,857	\$102,741
70100	Denison	Chicago	50.1%	93.4%	6.6%	\$35,885	\$85,197
70200	Excelsior Springs	Chicago	82.3%	96.8%	3.2%	\$41,440	\$63,327
70300	Mingo	Chicago	92.1%	95.0%	5.0%	\$11,179	\$25,660

	Indicator #		28	29	30	31	32
Center ID	Center Name	Region	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ⁶	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ⁷	Cost Per Enrollee ⁸	Cost Per Graduate
National Re	sult for Centers		77.9%	93.9%	6.1%	\$44,001	\$96,207
70400	Pine Ridge	Chicago	81.4%	96.4%	3.6%	\$11,180	\$24,844
70500	St Louis	Chicago	84.3%	98.6%	1.4%	\$57,684	\$80,476
70600	Flint Hills	Chicago	82.8%	91.9%	8.1%	\$43,706	\$69,993
80100	Anaconda	Dallas	61.1%	95.4%	4.6%	\$11,379	\$26,552
80200	Boxelder	Dallas	81.7%	97.4%	2.6%	\$11,376	\$22,173
80300	Clearfield	Dallas	29.0%	82.9%	17.1%	\$42,586	\$74,398
80400	Collbran	Dallas	71.4%	94.7%	5.3%	\$11,376	\$33,594
80600	Trapper Creek	Dallas	47.4%	90.1%	9.9%	\$11,377	\$19,652
80700	Weber Basin	Dallas	53.9%	77.9%	22.1%	\$11,372	\$21,891
80800	Quentin Burdick	Dallas	69.0%	93.0%	7.0%	\$46,439	\$110,180
90100	Hawaii	San Francisco	55.3%	59.6%	40.4%	\$65,482	\$145,199
90200	Inland Empire	San Francisco	96.0%	98.6%	1.4%	\$46,588	\$86,881
90300	Los Angeles	San Francisco	95.5%	96.5%	3.5%	\$58,436	\$99,996
90400	Phoenix	San Francisco	95.8%	95.8%	4.2%	\$42,884	\$104,439
90500	Sacramento	San Francisco	98.2%	99.5%	0.5%	\$44,167	\$102,363
90600	San Diego	San Francisco	90.8%	99.1%	0.9%	\$52,270	\$88,531
90700	San Jose	San Francisco	95.5%	98.1%	1.9%	\$64,782	\$110,094
90800	Sierra Nevada	San Francisco	89.9%	96.6%	3.4%	\$47,724	\$75,124
90900	Treasure Island	San Francisco	98.8%	99.7%	0.3%	\$71,519	\$117,445
91000	Fred G. Acosta	San Francisco	95.4%	95.4%	4.6%	\$45,028	\$70,632
91100	Long Beach	San Francisco	94.7%	97.7%	2.3%	\$55,252	\$100,793
100100	Angell	San Francisco	53.0%	97.0%	3.0%	\$11,531	\$38,592
100200	Cascades	San Francisco	81.6%	97.5%	2.5%	\$89,278	\$909,518
100300	Columbia Basin	San Francisco	77.1%	99.0%	1.0%	\$11,534	\$20,317
100400	Curlew	San Francisco	74.1%	97.3%	2.7%	\$11,610	\$22,812
100500	Fort Simcoe	San Francisco	79.0%	99.0%	1.0%	\$11,688	\$36,094
100600	Centennial	San Francisco	++++	++++	++++		
100700	Springdale	San Francisco	73.1%	97.4%	2.6%	\$53,496	\$103,029
100800	Timber Lake	San Francisco	31.7%	85.5%	14.5%	\$11,595	\$23,351

	Indicator #		28	29	30	31	32
Center ID	Center Name	enter Name Region		Percent of Within Region Enrollees ⁶	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ⁷	Cost Per Enrollee ⁸	Cost Per Graduate
National Re	sult for Centers		77.9%	93.9%	6.1%	\$44,001	\$96,207
100900	Tongue Point	San Francisco	36.5%	93.9%	6.1%	\$58,012	\$102,113
101000	Wolf Creek	San Francisco	48.5%	98.8%	1.2%	\$11,581	\$16,332
101100	Alaska	San Francisco	95.3%	97.3%	2.7%	\$65,787	\$96,746

⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with revealing personally identifiable information as counts of students are below 5.

NOTES:

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

¹Students who self-identify as Hispanic/Latino alone or with any other race are included in this category.

²Students who self-identify as more than one race except Hispanic / Latino are included in this category.

³Students who self-identify as having completed 12 years of schooling but did not indicate they received a high school diploma or its equivalent are included in this category.

⁴Counts of graduates who were placed in the Armed Forces, Apprenticeship programs, a job-training match job or post-secondary education (Measures 16, 17, 20 and 25) are not mutually exclusive. That is, the sum of the number of graduates under each of these four placement categories does not equal the total number of individual graduates. A graduate who enters more than one placement during their placement service window is counted under each type of placement as long as the placement meets the definition for measures 16, 17, 20 and/or 25.

⁵Measures 18 and 19 respectively present the number of graduates who attained an HSD and an HSE while in Job Corps.

⁶"Within Region" is defined as the Job Corps Region in which the center is located.

^{7&}quot;Surrounding Regions" is defined as the other five Job Corps Regions and excludes the Region in which the center is located.

^aBarranquitas and Ramey were closed following damage sustained from Hurricane Maria in September 2017. Ramey reopened for operations in May 2018 and Arecibo reopened in the first quarter of CY 2020. In September 2019 Barranquitas was consolidated with the remaining two centers in Puerto Rico, and the facility was permanently closed.

^bGulfport was severely impacted in August 2005 by Hurricane Katrina; the center reopened part of its campus and began operating at a reduced capacity in April 2010. Planning for the renovations and rebuilding of the remainder of the center facilities to bring the capacity back to the pre-Katrina level of 280 students continues to-date.

dCascades has been serving as a demonstration project since June 2016, enrolling its first students as the Cascades Job Corps College & Career Academy in May 2017.

Job Corps Annual Report for PY 2019 SECTION VI: Outreach and Admission Provider Results for Remaining Indicators

	Indicator #		7	8	22	23	28	29	30
OA ID	OA Provider Name	Region	Number of Enrollees Recruited	Number of 90-Day Commitments	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations ¹	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ²	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ³
National R	esult for OA Providers		32530	31277	18064	54.8%	77.9%	93.9%	6.1%
NYMTBR	BROOKLYN OACTS	Boston	264	232	93	73.2%	85.6%	98.1%	1.9%
NYGACA	CASSADAGA JC	Boston	193	159	104	63.0%	88.6%	95.9%	4.1%
NJMTED	EDISON MTC C	Boston	228	210	74	91.4%	79.4%	98.7%	1.3%
RIAAEX	EXETER OA JC A&A	Boston	138	128	61	54.5%	76.1%	93.5%	6.5%
NYAAGL	GLENMONT A&A	Boston	160	138	110	60.4%	98.1%	98.8%	1.3%
MAAAGR	GRAFTON OA A&A	Boston	242	218	103	59.5%	76.9%	97.1%	2.9%
CTMTHF	HARTFORD OACTS	Boston	186	161	79	63.7%	72.6%	98.4%	1.6%
NYCSIR	IROQUOIS OACTS	Boston	151	127	88	72.1%	90.7%	96.7%	3.3%
MECSLO	LORING JCC	Boston	75	66	27	++++	88.0%	96.0%	4.0%
NHAANH	N. HAMPSHIRE	Boston	243	237	108	49.3%	83.5%	99.2%	0.8%
NECTR1	NEW ENGLAND OACT	Boston	102	273	256	45.1%	50.0%	98.0%	2.0%
CTSRNH	NEW HAVEN OACTS	Boston	322	273	133	87.5%	47.2%	97.5%	2.5%
NYAONJ	NEW YORK NEW JER	Boston	1268	1183	756	70.9%	60.0%	98.6%	1.4%
VTETNO	NORTHLANDS OACTS	Boston	57	51	28	52.8%	70.2%	100.0%	0.0%
NYETON	ONEONTA JCC	Boston	124	100	81	75.0%	85.5%	97.6%	2.4%
MECSPE	PENOBSCOT OA JC	Boston	144	172	99	46.5%	84.7%	97.2%	2.8%
PRJPPR	PUERTO RICO	Boston	512	536	204	47.7%	77.1%	78.1%	21.9%
MAAPSH	SHRIVER OA/CTS	Boston	177	161	76	50.0%	69.5%	98.3%	1.7%
MAAPWE	WESTOVER API	Boston	193	169	72	82.8%	91.7%	99.5%	0.5%
VASRBR	BLUE RIDGE OA	Philadelphia	11	9	++	++++	++++	++++	++++
WVHYCH	CHARLESTON	Philadelphia	18	15	++	++++	++++	++++	++++
KYINTG	KENTUCKY OA & CT	Philadelphia	319	327	294	56.5%	90.0%	90.6%	9.4%
PAAAKE	KEYSTONE OA	Philadelphia	17	15	++	++++	++++	++++	++++
MDAB00	MARYLAND OA ABC	Philadelphia	719	709	456	64.1%	65.2%	97.9%	2.1%
KYINMB	MUHLENBERG OA	Philadelphia	54	34	19	++++	87.0%	87.0%	13.0%
VAOMOD	OLD DOMINION OA	Philadelphia	69	58	18	++++	75.4%	95.7%	4.3%
PARC00	PA OA RESCARE	Philadelphia	1082	951	606	75.1%	80.5%	97.2%	2.8%
KYINCP	PERKINS OA/CTS	Philadelphia	110	86	28	++++	95.5%	95.5%	4.5%

Job Corps Annual Report for PY 2019 SECTION VI: Outreach and Admission Provider Results for Remaining Indicators

	Indicator #		7	8	22	23	28	29	30
OA ID	OA Provider Name	Region	Number of Enrollees Recruited	Number of 90-Day Commitments	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations ¹	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ²	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ³
National R	esult for OA Providers		32530	31277	18064	54.8%	77.9%	93.9%	6.1%
PAJPPH	PHILADELPHIA JP	Philadelphia	352	326	157	46.6%	96.3%	97.7%	2.3%
PAOMPB	PITTS OACTS	Philadelphia	254	248	68	30.9%	98.0%	98.8%	1.2%
DCEXPT	POTOMAC OA/CTS	Philadelphia	329	260	215	73.4%	42.6%	98.2%	1.8%
PARCRR	RED ROCK CTS	Philadelphia	27	25	++	++++	++++	++++	++++
VAIN00	VIRGINIA INSIGHT	Philadelphia	661	653	507	59.4%	49.2%	92.6%	7.4%
KYHYWY	WHITNEY YOUNG OA	Philadelphia	83	70	19	++++	96.4%	97.6%	2.4%
DEMTWI	WILMINGTON	Philadelphia	217	216	103	54.2%	65.4%	99.1%	0.9%
MDMTWS	WOODSTOCK MT	Philadelphia	56	50	5	++++	67.9%	98.2%	1.8%
SCHSBA	BAMBERG OACTS	Atlanta	312	289	148	55.6%	65.1%	81.1%	18.9%
TNMNBH	BL HOOKS OA	Atlanta	127	100	29	++++	60.6%	66.9%	33.1%
GAMTBW	BRUNSWICK OA CTS	Atlanta	1109	1159	652	55.5%	65.6%	78.0%	22.0%
MSSRFH	FINCH HENRY SERR	Atlanta	437	370	226	81.0%	90.6%	94.3%	5.7%
ALCTGA	GADSDEN OA CTS	Atlanta	272	249	161	72.2%	77.6%	87.9%	12.1%
MSMNGP	GULFPORT OACTS	Atlanta	192	183	103	54.2%	88.0%	97.9%	2.1%
FLHSJA	JACKSONVILLE HSC	Atlanta	398	394	197	61.4%	43.5%	65.1%	34.9%
NCAAKI	KITTRELL OA/CTS	Atlanta	474	351	152	98.7%	85.7%	93.5%	6.5%
FLAAMI	MIAMI OACTS	Atlanta	241	274	96	39.5%	72.6%	98.3%	1.7%
ALAEMG	MONTGOMERY OACTS	Atlanta	317	292	160	51.9%	92.7%	97.5%	2.5%
MSCSMI	MS OA/CTS CSDC	Atlanta	100	84	20	++++	92.0%	95.0%	5.0%
NCJP00	N. CAROLINA OACT	Atlanta	115	216	188	38.4%	74.8%	90.4%	9.6%
FLOMPC	PINELLAS OACTS	Atlanta	427	463	175	41.2%	66.0%	89.5%	10.5%
TNACMS	TN/MS OACTS ACE	Atlanta	264	266	233	46.6%	52.7%	56.4%	43.6%
GAMTTU	TURNER OA MTC	Atlanta	202	184	17	++++	83.2%	88.1%	11.9%
NMAM00	ALBUQUERQUE JCC	Dallas	454	414	269	59.6%	93.4%	96.9%	3.1%
NDJP00		Dallas	357	361	185	59.9%	72.3%	93.8%	6.2%
LAPGCA	CARVILLE JCC	Dallas	91	89	91	68.9%	61.5%	100.0%	0.0%
UTMTCL	CLEARFIELD OACTS	Dallas	680	609	396	57.5%	75.4%	93.7%	6.3%
TXOMDC	D. CARRASCO OA C	Dallas	505	509	193	44.4%	83.2%	97.4%	2.6%

Job Corps Annual Report for PY 2019 SECTION VI: Outreach and Admission Provider Results for Remaining Indicators

	Indicator #		7	8	22	23	28	29	30
OA ID	OA Provider Name	Region	Number of Enrollees Recruited	Number of 90-Day Commitments	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations ¹	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ²	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ³
National R	esult for OA Providers		32530	31277	18064	54.8%	77.9%	93.9%	6.1%
TXAAGY	GARY OA CTS	Dallas	1615	1503	803	86.3%	89.5%	97.9%	2.1%
OKCSGU	GUTHRIE OACTS	Dallas	373	357	255	62.0%	96.5%	97.9%	2.1%
TXJPLA	LAREDO JCC	Dallas	305	304	109	46.0%	96.7%	100.0%	0.0%
AROMLR	LITTLE ROCK OACT	Dallas	420	407	272	63.6%	93.3%	97.6%	2.4%
TXABNW	N TX ABC OA	Dallas	408	387	263	54.9%	43.4%	92.9%	7.1%
LAOMNO	NEW ORLEANS	Dallas	242	214	155	58.9%	97.1%	98.3%	1.7%
TXHYNT	NORTH TEXAS OA C	Dallas	631	623	362	59.0%	64.5%	97.6%	2.4%
NMAMRO	ROSWELL OA	Dallas	50	41	10	++++	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
LAMNSP	SHREVEPORT	Dallas	369	312	249	65.4%	93.2%	99.5%	0.5%
OKJPTL	TALKING LEAVES	Dallas	244	215	152	59.4%	98.4%	99.6%	0.4%
OKOMTU	TULSA OA CTS	Dallas	245	210	145	57.5%	94.7%	97.6%	2.4%
WYMTWR	WIND RIVER OACTS	Dallas	615	597	390	58.6%	33.5%	94.5%	5.5%
INMTAB	ATTERBURY OA/CTS	Chicago	465	352	155	88.6%	79.8%	91.8%	8.2%
OHSRCN	CINCINNATI OACTS	Chicago	669	645	446	60.4%	89.5%	93.3%	6.7%
OHSRCL	CLEVELAND OA	Chicago	66	56	9	++++	98.5%	98.5%	1.5%
OHAMDA	DAYTON OA AMS	Chicago	78	72	7	++++	92.3%	93.6%	6.4%
IAMNDN	DENISON OA CTS	Chicago	681	641	357	49.0%	61.2%	95.9%	4.1%
MIAEDE	DETROIT OA AET	Chicago	95	79	16	++++	89.5%	94.7%	5.3%
MOMIKC	EXCELSIOR SPRGS	Chicago	523	478	281	49.3%	87.6%	93.5%	6.5%
MIAAFG	FLINT GENESEE	Chicago	730	662	434	71.5%	95.6%	99.0%	1.0%
KSSRFH	FLINT HILLS OACT	Chicago	229	243	108	47.0%	79.5%	93.9%	6.1%
MIHLGF	GERALD FORD OA	Chicago	52	47	8	++++	94.2%	100.0%	0.0%
MNMTHH	HHJCC OA CTS	Chicago	223	203	140	57.4%	87.4%	96.4%	3.6%
INABC0	INDIANA ABC	Chicago	65	136	123	41.4%	78.5%	95.4%	4.6%
INAADY	INDY JCC OA A&A	Chicago	24	44	57	47.5%	++++	++++	++++
ILAAJO	JOLIET OA CTS	Chicago	469	459	421	60.5%	95.1%	98.3%	1.7%
WIRCMJ	MILWAUKEE OACTS	Chicago	400	330	201	75.6%	94.3%	98.5%	1.5%
IACSOW	OTTUMWA OA	Chicago	29	25	5	++++	++++	++++	++++

Job Corps Annual Report for PY 2019

SECTION VI: Outreach and Admission Provider Results for Remaining Indicators

	Indicator #		7	8	22	23	28	29	30
OA ID	OA Provider Name	Region	Number of Enrollees Recruited	Number of 90-Day Commitments	Number of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations	Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations ¹	Percent of In-State Enrollees	Percent of Within Region Enrollees ²	Percent of Surrounding Region Enrollees ³
National R	esult for OA Providers		32530	31277	18064	54.8%	77.9%	93.9%	6.1%
ILCEPS	PAUL SIMON OA	Chicago	168	128	45	++++	89.3%	94.0%	6.0%
MOAASL	ST. LOUIS	Chicago	107	126	133	48.0%	86.9%	99.1%	0.9%
MOMTSL	ST. LOUIS OACTS	Chicago	159	114	57	98.3%	88.1%	99.4%	0.6%
AKCTPL	ALASKA OACTS	San Francisco	155	163	58	70.7%	92.3%	98.7%	1.3%
WAAACS	CASCADES AA	San Francisco	106	122	102	90.3%	89.6%	98.1%	1.9%
AZHLFA	FRED ACOSTA	San Francisco	281	318	79	59.4%	79.7%	84.7%	15.3%
HIJPHI	HAWAII JCC	San Francisco	265	313	74	32.2%	53.2%	60.8%	39.2%
CACEIE	INLAND EMPIR	San Francisco	329	341	144	46.0%	89.1%	92.7%	7.3%
CAMTLA	LA CTS MTC	San Francisco	170	149	31	++++	98.2%	98.8%	1.2%
CAOMLB	LONG BEACH J	San Francisco	523	574	308	47.1%	90.2%	96.7%	3.3%
NWDESI	NWDESI OA/CT	San Francisco	1370	1353	779	52.4%	58.8%	96.6%	3.4%
AZEMPX	PHOENIX CTS	San Francisco	191	265	132	68.8%	58.6%	72.8%	27.2%
AZOMPX	PHOENIX OMG	San Francisco	269	216	54	100.0%	88.5%	89.6%	10.4%
CACSSA	SACRAMENTO C	San Francisco	441	428	267	60.0%	97.1%	99.1%	0.9%
CAJPSD	SAN DIEGO JCC	San Francisco	427	489	151	37.6%	94.8%	96.5%	3.5%
CAJPSJ	SAN JOSE JCC	San Francisco	472	482	286	56.0%	94.9%	96.6%	3.4%
NVJPSN	SN NEV JCC	San Francisco	410	432	197	43.2%	90.5%	96.3%	3.7%
CAAATI	TREASURE ISL	San Francisco	141	113	28	++++	97.9%	100.0%	0.0%
EXPIRY AGE	ENCIES		++	7	457	15.6%	++++	++++	++++

⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with revealing personally identifiable information as counts of students are below 5 students.

NOTES:

50 of 54

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.

¹ Of the five OA agencies with "Percent of Former Enrollees/Level 1 Zero Tolerance Separations" above 90% three are new agencies with contracts that began during PY 2019. New OA agencies tend to have a high percentage of Level 1 ZTs and former enrollees as these are the categories of students who exit from Job Corps earlier (on average, within three to four months after enrollment); graduates do not populate a new OA agency's pools until later as they, on average, remain in Job Corps for almost one year.

²"Within Region" is defined as the Job Corps Region in which the center is located.

³"Surrounding Regions" is defined as the other five Job Corps Regions and excludes the Region in which the center is located.

	Indicator #		16	17	20	21	24	25	26	27
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Number of Graduates Placed in Armed Forces ¹	Number of Graduates Entered Apprenticeships ¹	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM ¹	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Percent of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ¹	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Re	sult for CTS Providers		639	641	8165	6950	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
NYMTBR	BROOKLYN OACTS	Boston	++	++	34	26	10.0%	6	\$15.57	++++
NYGACA	CASSADAGA JC	Boston	++	++	81	39	2.5%	++	\$14.14	++++
NYAADV	D. VALLEY CT	Boston	5	++	39	37	1.3%	++	\$13.62	++++
NJMTED	EDISON MTC CTS	Boston	++	8	68	88	7.1%	11	\$13.63	++++
RIAAEX	EXETER CTS JCC	Boston	++	++	39	45	9.5%	8	\$13.03	++++
NYAAGL	GLENMONT OACTS	Boston	6	++	86	33	6.7%	8	\$14.09	++++
MAAAGR	GRAFTON JCC	Boston	++	++	63	54	6.0%	7	\$13.61	++++
CTMTHF	HARTFORD OACTS	Boston	++	++	57	41	12.2%	12	\$13.73	++++
NYCSIR	IROQUOIS OACTS	Boston	++	5	47	28	8.0%	6	\$14.12	++++
MECSLO	LORING JCC	Boston	++	11	68	21	12.4%	11	\$13.67	++++
NHAANH	N. HAMPSHIRE	Boston	7	6	68	70	6.5%	9	\$13.46	++++
CTSRNH	NEW HAVEN OACTS	Boston	++	++	30	27	1.8%	++	\$13.62	++++
NYAONJ	NEW YORK NEW JER	Boston	++	++	10	7	++++	++	++++	++++
VTETNO	NORTHLANDS OACTS	Boston	10	++	51	14	0.0%	++	\$14.53	++++
NYETON	ONEONTA JCC	Boston	++	14	75	61	5.1%	7	\$14.38	++++
MECSPE	PENOBSCOT CTS	Boston	7	++	81	46	4.7%	6	\$13.12	++++
PRJPPR	PUERTO RICO	Boston	++	++	49	136	35.1%	65	\$10.30	++++
VIRCST	RES-CARE VI	Boston	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
MAAPSH	SHRIVER OA/CTS	Boston	++	++	68	56	2.4%	++	\$14.32	++++
NYMTSB	SOUTH BRONX CTS	Boston	++	5	71	39	5.5%	6	\$14.01	++++
MAAPWE	WESTOVER API	Boston	5	7	64	46	9.1%	10	\$13.86	++++
WVHYCH	CHARLESTON	Philadelphia	6	++	42	63	5.7%	6	\$12.28	++++
DEMTWI	DE CTS	Philadelphia	++	++	37	20	7.0%	++	\$11.73	++++
KYMTEC	EARLE CLEMENTS C	Philadelphia	16	6	141	138	2.9%	8	\$12.15	++++
KYINTG	KENTUCKY OA & CT	Philadelphia	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
KYINMB	MUHLENBERG O	Philadelphia	5	++	71	41	1.8%	++	\$12.73	++++
KYINCP	PERKINS OA/C	Philadelphia	19	12	133	136	10.0%	27	\$12.23	++++
PAJPPH	PHILADELPHIA	Philadelphia	++	++	76	61	5.1%	7	\$12.29	++++
PAOMPB	PITTS OACTS	Philadelphia	5	10	140	167	28.0%	86	\$12.22	\$14.35
DCEXPT	POTOMAC OA/CTS	Philadelphia	6	5	64	89	1.3%	++	\$13.31	++++
PARCRR	RED ROCK CTS	Philadelphia	11	12	139	144	2.5%	7	\$12.08	\$13.58
VAIN00	VIRGINIA INSIGHT	Philadelphia	12	++	120	99	2.3%	5	\$11.90	\$13.18

	Indicator #		16	17	20	21	24	25	26	27
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Number of Graduates Placed in Armed Forces ¹	Number of Graduates Entered Apprenticeships ¹	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM ¹	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Percent of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ¹	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Re	sult for CTS Providers		639	641	8165	6950	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
KYHYWY	W. YOUNG CTS	Philadelphia	5	++	49	32	3.7%	++	\$13.19	++++
MDMNWL	WOODLAND OACTS	Philadelphia	++	++	17	15	++++	++	++++	++++
MDMTWS	WOODSTOCK MTC	Philadelphia	10	10	89	119	5.3%	11	\$13.33	\$14.91
SCHSBA	BAMBERG OACTS	Atlanta	++	6	44	83	14.2%	18	\$11.10	++++
TNETBH	BL HOOKS OACTS	Atlanta	++	++	12	23	++++	++	++++	++++
GAMTBW	BRUNSWICK OA CTS	Atlanta	20	21	211	236	4.5%	20	\$11.21	\$13.72
MSSRFH	FINCH HENRY SERR	Atlanta	++	++	68	24	9.8%	9	\$9.99	++++
ALCTGA	GADSDEN OA CTS	Atlanta	5	++	45	64	14.7%	16	\$10.41	++++
MSCEGU	GULFPORT OA/CTS	Atlanta	++	++	14	14	++++	++	++++	++++
MSMNGP	GULFPORT OACTS	Atlanta	++	++	15	42	14.0%	8	\$9.96	++++
FLHSJA	JACKSONVILLE HSC	Atlanta	16	++	61	69	6.9%	9	\$11.80	++++
NCAAKI	KITTRELL OA/CTS	Atlanta	16	10	212	122	4.2%	14	\$11.62	\$24.55
FLAAMI	MIAMI OACTS	Atlanta	8	20	72	47	15.1%	18	\$12.70	++++
ALAEMB	MOBILE AET OACTS	Atlanta	++	++	12	7	++++	++	++++	++++
ALAEMG	MONTGOMERY O	Atlanta	10	++	57	40	10.3%	10	\$10.63	++++
MSCSMI	MS OA/CTS CSDC	Atlanta	++	++	34	47	24.7%	20	\$10.86	++++
NCJP00	N. CAROLINA OACT	Atlanta	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
FLOMPC	PINELLAS OACTS	Atlanta	6	6	109	80	7.4%	14	\$11.55	++++
TNACMS	TN/MS OACTS ACE	Atlanta	9	++	68	57	6.4%	8	\$11.37	++++
NMAM00	ALBUQUERQUE JCC	Dallas	7	7	119	73	7.8%	15	\$12.10	++++
NDJP00	BURDICK OACTS	Dallas	++	++	76	69	6.9%	10	\$12.55	++++
LAPGCA	CARVILLE JCC	Dallas	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
UTMTCL	CLEARFIELD O	Dallas	27	42	451	353	2.5%	20	\$13.09	\$15.04
TXOMDC	D. CARRASCO OA C	Dallas	11	++	116	138	13.0%	33	\$10.25	++++
TXAAGY	GARY OA CTS	Dallas	37	15	383	286	6.0%	40	\$12.65	\$13.57
OKCSGU	GUTHRIE OACTS	Dallas	19	9	128	108	3.0%	7	\$11.59	++++
TXJPLA	LAREDO JCC	Dallas	++	++	76	56	22.0%	29	\$9.83	++++
AROMLR	LITTLE ROCK	Dallas	7	++	53	53	5.7%	6	\$11.63	++++
ARSRLR	LITTLE ROCK OACT	Dallas	5	++	31	8	++++	++	++++	++++
AROMLF	N. LITTLE ROCK	Dallas	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
LAOMNO	NEW ORLEANS	Dallas	++	28	50	69	4.2%	5	\$11.31	++++
TXHYNT	NORTH TEXAS OA C	Dallas	9	6	146	115	5.4%	14	\$11.12	\$13.03

	Indicator #		16	17	20	21	24	25	26	27
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Number of Graduates Placed in Armed Forces ¹	Number of Graduates Entered Apprenticeships ¹	Number of Placed Graduates with a JTM ¹	Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Percent of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ¹	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Res	sult for CTS Providers		639	641	8165	6950	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
LAMNSP	SHREVEPORT	Dallas	5	++	73	95	10.1%	17	\$10.82	++++
OKJPTL	TALKING LEAVES	Dallas	++	7	60	43	4.9%	5	\$10.31	++++
OKOMTU	TULSA OA CTS	Dallas	24	5	87	36	7.3%	9	\$13.05	++++
WYMTWR	WIND RIVER	Dallas	++	++	19	30	++++	++	++++	\$16.88
WYAPWR	WIND RIVER OA/CT	Dallas	5	5	22	31	3.8%	++	\$14.19	++++
INMTAB	ATTERBURY OA/CTS	Chicago	12	21	110	154	3.4%	9	\$12.50	\$15.41
OHSRCN	CINCINNATI OACTS	Chicago	23	8	180	202	8.4%	32	\$12.21	\$13.76
IAMNDN	DENISON OA CTS	Chicago	++	28	199	145	7.0%	24	\$13.36	\$14.89
MISRDE	DETROIT OA/CTS	Chicago	++	++	6	5	++++	++	++++	++++
MOMIKC	EXCELSIOR SPRING	Chicago	9	18	165	154	3.4%	11	\$12.65	\$17.28
MIAAFG	FLINT GENESEE	Chicago	8	++	61	107	8.3%	14	\$11.52	\$13.25
MISRFG	FLINT GENESEE	Chicago	++	++	44	66	13.6%	15	\$11.58	++++
KSSRFH	FLINT HILLS OACT	Chicago	10	++	79	48	3.9%	5	\$12.46	++++
MNMTHH	HHJCC OA CTS	Chicago	++	8	78	67	3.4%	5	\$13.36	++++
MIHLGF	HLS GRF JCC	Chicago	++	++	12	20	++++	++	++++	++++
INABC0	INDIANA ABC	Chicago	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
ILAAJO	JOLIET OA CTS	Chicago	12	7	133	106	5.0%	12	\$12.93	\$13.83
WIRCMJ	MILWAUKEE OACTS	Chicago	7	6	102	45	3.4%	5	\$13.31	++++
MOAASL	ST. LOUIS	Chicago	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
MOMTSL	ST. LOUIS OACTS	Chicago	++	19	114	59	2.9%	5	\$13.58	++++
AKCTPL	ALASKA OACTS	San Francisco	++	7	70	22	1.1%	++	\$14.46	++++
WAAACS	CASCADES AA	San Francisco	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
AZHLFA	FRED ACOSTA CTS	San Francisco	++	15	92	49	4.3%	6	\$12.71	++++
НІЈРНІ	HAWAII JCC	San Francisco	++	7	45	47	2.2%	++	\$13.04	++++
CACEIE	INLAND EMPIRE	San Francisco	6	6	45	108	25.5%	39	\$14.38	++++
CAMTLA	LA CTS MTC	San Francisco	11	++	139	118	9.3%	24	\$14.73	++++
NVJPLV	LAS VEGAS	San Francisco	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
CAOMLB	LONG BEACH J	San Francisco	++	5	73	101	10.9%	19	\$14.48	++++
AZEMNA	N. AZ CTS EMC	San Francisco	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++++	++++
NWDESI	NWDESI OA/CT	San Francisco	36	61	507	293	5.4%	43	\$14.53	\$15.95
AZEMPX	PHOENIX CTS	San Francisco	++	++	5	++	++++	++	++++	++++
AZOMPX	PHOENIX OMG	San Francisco	++	++	101	62	3.1%	5	\$12.80	++++

	Indicator #		16	17	20	21	24	25	26	27
CTS ID	CTS Provider Name	Region	Number of Graduates Placed in Armed Forces ¹	Number of Graduates Entered Apprenticeships ¹		Number of Placed Graduates without a JTM	Craduatoc Entorod	Number of Graduates Entered Postsecondary Education ¹	Average Graduate Wage at Initial Placement	Average Graduate Wage 6 Months after Initial Placement
National Result for CTS Providers			639	641	8165	6950	7.1%	1068	\$12.73	\$14.84
CACSSA	SACRAMENTO CTS	San Francisco	5	14	83	57	5.0%	7	\$15.18	++++
CAJPSD	SAN DIEGO JCC	San Francisco	15	27	177	91	7.1%	19	\$14.11	\$15.13
CAJPSJ	SAN JOSE JCC	San Francisco	++	++	87	53	7.9%	11	\$15.29	++++
NVJPSN	SIERRA NEVADA	San Francisco	13	12	167	96	2.3%	6	\$13.03	\$14.89
CAAATI	TREASURE ISLAND	San Francisco	6	++	95	113	8.7%	18	\$15.28	++++

⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with revealing personally identifiable information as counts of students are below 5 students.

NOTES:

¹Counts of graduates who were placed in the Armed Forces, Apprenticeship programs, a job-training match job or post-secondary education (Measures 16, 17, 20 and 25) are not mutually exclusive. More specifically, in calculating each of these four measures, all placements that were reported for each graduate throughout the placement service window are taken into account and a graduate would be included in the count for Measures 16, 17, 20 and 25 for each placement that meets the definition for that Measure.

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Results not shown due to concerns with the statistical reliability of the information as counts of students are below 50 students.